Case: 4:12-cv-00080-CEJ Doc. #: 533 Filed: 06/01/17 Page: 1 of 3 PageID #: 13845

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	)	
Plaintiff,	) ) )	
V.	) )	
BURTON DOUGLAS MORRISS, et al.,	)	Case No. 4:12-CV-00080-CEJ
Defendants, and	)	
MORRISS HOLDINGS, LLC,	)	
Relief Defendant.	)	

#### **NOTICE OF FILING OF UPDATED DISTRIBUTION SCHEDULES**

Claire M. Schenk ("<u>Receiver</u>"), the Court-appointed receiver over Acartha Group, LLC, MIC VII, LLC, Acartha Technology Partners, LP, and Gryphon Investments III, LLC (collectively, the "<u>Receivership Entities</u>"), hereby respectfully files the following documents to update and supplement the *Amended Motion to Approve Plan of Distribution, Approve Schedule of Claims, Authorize Distributions of Receivership Assets, and Approve Partial Payment of Holdback Amount Pertaining to Legal and Professional Services Rendered by the Receiver, Retained Counsel, and Other Professionals* (ECF No. 527):

1. Updated **Exhibit A-1** (Schedule of Allowed Claims) to correct initial contribution amounts attributable to Claim Nos. 93 and 124.<sup>1</sup>

2. Supplemental Declaration of Timothy S. O'Shaughnessy in Support of Receiver's Plan for Distribution of Funds Held by Acartha Group, LLC, Acartha Technology

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court granted the *Consent Motion* filed by the holders of Claim Nos. 93 and 124, allowing the holders to assert a late objection to the reported initial contribution amounts for their claims (*see* ECF Nos. 530, 532). The Receiver's updated documents attached to this *Notice* address the technical objections raised by the claimants.

*Partners, L.P., MIC VII, LLC, and Gryphon Investments III, LLC*, with **Attachments 1 through 4**, to update the Distribution Schedules (**Attachments 1-4**), asset allocations, and standard deviation and percentage analyses relative to each considered distribution methodology reported in Mr. O'Shaughnessy's *Declaration* dated May 3, 2017 (ECF No. 527; entered on docket May 10, 2017).<sup>2</sup>

3. Updated *Proposed Order* to update the percentage allocation of Shared Assets and Receivership expenses between the four Receivership Entities.

Dated: June 1, 2017

Respectfully Submitted,

#### THOMPSON COBURN LLP

By <u>/s/ Kathleen E. Kraft</u> Stephen B. Higgins, #25728MO Brian A. Lamping, #61054MO One US Bank Plaza St. Louis, Missouri 63101 Phone: (314) 552-6000 Fax: (314) 552-7000 shiggins@thompsoncoburn.com blamping@thompsoncoburn.com

Kathleen E. Kraft, #58601MO 1909 K Street, NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20006 Phone: (202) 585-6922 Fax: (202) 508-1035 kkraft@thompsoncoburn.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Although the Receiver is not filing an amended/corrected memorandum of law, Interested Parties should note that the updated figures in the *Supplemental Declaration* govern over the figures reported in the amended/corrected memorandum of law at pages 28 and 32 (*see* ECF No. 527).

Case: 4:12-cv-00080-CEJ Doc. #: 533 Filed: 06/01/17 Page: 3 of 3 PageID #: 13847

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on June 1, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court through the Court's CM/ECF system which will send a notice of electronic filing to all counsel of record receiving electronic service.

I further certify that I served the foregoing document via electronic mail on all Interested Parties (as defined in the *Memorandum in Support* filed at ECF No. 516).

/s/ Kathleen E. Kraft

Case: 4:12-cv-00080-CEJ Doc. #: 533-1 Filed: 06/01/17 Page: 1 of 4 PageID #: 13848

# EXHIBIT A-1 Updated 6/1/17

<b>Schedule</b>	of Allowed	<b>Claims</b>

<u>Claim</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Receiver's</u> Recommended			Proposed Class
<u>- 10.</u>	Determination	<u>Lintry</u>		<u>C1055</u>
4	Allow	Acartha	Exchange	2-A
5	Allow	Acartha	Exchange	2-A
6	Allow	Acartha	Exchange	2-A
7	Allow	Acartha	Exchange	2-A
8	Allow	MIC VII	\$100,000	1-C
9	Allow	MIC VII	\$250,000	1-C
11	Allow	Gryphon	\$500,000	1-D
13	Allow	Acartha	\$2,000,000	1-A
14	Allow	Acartha	\$1,500,000	1-A
15	Allow	MIC VII	\$20,000	1-C
16	Allow	Acartha	\$119,551	4-A
16	Allow	ATP	\$38,667	4-B
16	Allow	MIC VII	\$58,622	4-C
16	Allow	Gryphon	\$3,220	4-D
18	Allow in part	Acartha	\$2,500,000	1-A
18	Allow in part	Acartha	Exchange	2-A
22	Allow	Acartha	\$1,500,000	1-A
22	Allow	MIC VII	\$2,020,898.74	1-C
23	Allow	Gryphon	\$250,000	1-D
24	Allow	MIC VII	\$632,911.39	1-C
26	Allow	Acartha	\$200,000	1-A
27	Allow	MIC VII	\$550,000	1-C
28	Allow	Acartha	\$1,500,000	1-A
29	Allow	Acartha	\$1,250,000	1-A
29	Allow	Acartha	Exchange	2-A
30	Allow	Acartha	\$1,250,000	1-A
30	Allow	Acartha	Exchange	2-A
31	Allow	MIC VII	\$3,259,576.96	1-C
32	Allow	MIC VII	\$3,259,576.96	1-C
33	Allow	Acartha	\$500,000	1-A
34	Allow	Acartha	\$14,000	3-A
35	Allow	MIC VII	\$1,300,000	1-C
36	Allow	MIC VII	\$30,000	1-C
37	Allow	MIC VII	\$154,676.05	1-C
38	Allow	MIC VII	\$700,000	1-C
39	Allow	MIC VII	\$100,000	1-C
40	Allow	MIC VII	\$20,000	1-C

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Claim Amount is either (a) the investor's asserted and/or verified cash contribution amount to the applicable Receivership Entity, not deducting any pre-Receivership distributions; or (b) the creditor's asserted claim amount.

<u>Claim</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Receiver's</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Determination</u>	Receivership Entity	Claim Amount <sup>1</sup>	Proposed Class
41	Allow	ATP	\$9,650,000	1-B
42	Allow	MIC VII	\$649,336.24	1-C
44	Allow	MIC VII	\$975,821.19	1-C
45	Allow	MIC VII	\$1,044,303.80	1-C
46	Allow	MIC VII	\$537,974.68	1-C
47	Allow	MIC VII	\$2,140,805	1-C
48	Allow in part	Acartha	\$2,500,000	1-A
48	Allow in part	Acartha	Exchange	2-A
48	Allow in part	MIC VII	\$3,496,597	1-C
49	Allow	Acartha	\$500,000	1-A
49	Allow	MIC VII	\$600,000	1-C
50	Allow	MIC VII	\$25,000	1-C
52	Allow	Acartha	\$300,000	1-A
53	Allow	MIC VII	\$550,000	1-C
54	Allow	MIC VII	\$400,000	1-C
60	Allow	ATP	\$100,000	3-B
62	Allow in part	Gryphon	\$450,000	1-D
69	Allow	MIC VII	\$50,000	1-C
70	Allow	MIC VII	\$1,250,000	1-C
71	Allow	MIC VII	\$250,000	1-C
72	Allow	MIC VII	\$50,000	1-C
73	Allow	MIC VII	\$40,000	1-C
74	Allow	MIC VII	\$70,000	1-C
75	Allow	MIC VII	\$250,000	1-C
76	Allow	MIC VII	\$33,000	1-C
77	Allow	MIC VII	\$33,000	1-C
78	Allow	MIC VII	\$34,000	1-C
79	Allow	MIC VII	\$100,000	1-C
80	Allow	MIC VII	\$75,000	1-C
81	Allow	MIC VII	\$25,000	1-C
82	Allow	MIC VII	\$25,000	1-C
83	Allow	MIC VII	\$50,000	1-C
84	Allow	MIC VII	\$100,000	1-C
85	Allow	MIC VII	\$65,000	1-C
86	Allow	ATP	\$100,000	1-B
87	Allow	ATP	\$50,000	1-B
88	Allow	ATP	\$25,000	1-B
89	Allow	ATP	\$50,000	1-B
90	Allow	ATP	\$50,000	1-B
91	Allow	ATP	\$50,000	1-B
92	Allow	ATP	\$50,000	1-B
93	Allow	ATP	\$300,000	1-B
94	Allow	ATP	\$300,000	1-B
95	Allow	ATP	\$25,000	1-B
96	Allow	ATP	\$50,000	1-B
97	Allow	ATP	\$129,000	1-B

<u>Claim</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Receiver's</u> <u>Recommended</u> Determination	<u>Receivership</u> <u>Entity</u>		
98	Allow	ATP	\$80,000	1-B
99	Allow	ATP	\$300,000	1-B
100	Allow	ATP	\$27,500	1-B
101	Allow	ATP	\$165,000	1-B
102	Allow	ATP	\$243,500	1-B
103	Allow	ATP	\$32,500	1-B
104	Allow	ATP	\$55,000	1-B
105	Allow	ATP	\$130,000	1-B
106	Allow	ATP	\$341,000	1-B
107	Allow	ATP	\$100,000	1-B
108	Allow	ATP	\$25,000	1-B
109	Allow	ATP	\$25,000	1-B
110	Allow	ATP	\$75,000	1-B
111	Allow	ATP	\$27,500	1-B
112	Allow	ATP	\$32,500	1-B
113	Allow	ATP	\$115,000	1-B
114	Allow in part	MIC VII	\$267,527.49	1-C
114	Allow in part	Gryphon	\$200,000	1-D
115	Allow in part	MIC VII	\$410,381	1-C
116	Allow	ATP	\$27,500	1-B
117	Allow	ATP	\$115,000	1-B
118	Allow	ATP	\$65,000	1-B
119	Allow	ATP	\$125,000	1-B
120	Allow	ATP	\$155,000	1-B
121	Allow	ATP	\$155,000	1-B
122	Allow	ATP	\$135,000	1-B
123	Allow	ATP	\$32,500	1-B
124	Allow	ATP	\$275,000	1-B
125	Allow	ATP	\$465,000	1-B
126	Allow	ATP	\$110,000	1-B
127	Allow	ATP	\$110,000	1-B
128	Allow	ATP	\$220,000	1-B
129	Allow	ATP	\$150,000	1-B
130	Allow	ATP	\$125,000	1-B
131	Allow	ATP	\$125,000	1-B
132	Allow	ATP	\$125,000	1-B
133	Allow	ATP	\$220,000	1-B
134	Allow	ATP	\$275,000	1-B
135	Allow	ATP	\$99,000	1-B
136	Allow	ATP	\$60,000	1-B
137	Allow	ATP	\$112,500	1-B
138	Allow	ATP	\$770,000	1-B
139	Allow	ATP	\$275,000	1-B
140	Allow	ATP	\$750,000	1-B
141	Allow	ATP	\$100,000	1-B
142	Allow	ATP	\$250,000	1-B

<u>Claim</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Receiver's</u> <u>Recommended</u> <u>Determination</u>	<u>Receivership</u> <u>Entity</u>	Claim Amount <sup>1</sup>	Proposed <u>Class</u>
143	Allow	ATP	\$1,650,000	1-B
227	Allow	Acartha	\$74,594	3-A

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	) )
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) Case No. 4:12-cv-00080-CEJ
BURTON DOUGLAS MORRISS, et al.,	)
Defendants, and	)
MORRISS HOLDINGS, LLC,	)
Relief Defendant.	)

### SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF TIMOTHY S. O'SHAUGHNESSY IN SUPPORT OF RECEIVER'S PLAN FOR DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD BY ACARTHA GROUP, LLC, ACARTHA TECHNOLOGY PARTNERS, L.P., MIC VII, LLC, AND GRYPHON INVESTMENTS III, LLC

I, Timothy S. O'Shaughnessy, being duly sworn, declare:

1. I am the Managing Principal for the St. Louis region of CliftonLarsonAllen LLP ("CLA").<sup>1</sup> I specialize in business and individual tax planning and compliance. I have more than fifteen years of public accounting experience. CLA is the tenth largest accountancy firm in the United States, and Federal Equity Receivership Services are offered along with many other services by CLA.

2. I am submitting this *Supplemental Declaration* to update the Distribution Schedules (**Attachments 1-4** hereto), asset allocations, and standard deviation and percentage

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Receiver's *Amended/Corrected Memorandum of Law in Support of Receiver's Motion to Approve Plan of Distribution, Approve Schedule of Claims, Authorize First Interim Distribution of Receivership Assets, and Approve Partial Payment of Holdback Amount Pertaining to Legal and Professional Services Rendered by the Receiver, Retained Counsel, and Other Professionals,* entered on the docket on May 10, 2017 (ECF No. 527).

analyses relative to each considered distribution methodology reported in my *Declaration* dated May 3, 2017 (ECF No. 527; entered on docket May 10, 2017). I am submitting these updates in response to the Court's order granting Claimant Nos. 93 and 124 leave to assert a late objection to the Receiver's proposed plan of distribution (*see* ECF No. 532). The updates take into consideration the corrected initial contribution amounts for Claim Nos. 93 and 124. Except for the updates described below, I affirm all matters discussed in my *Declaration*, including but not limited to CLA's knowledge of the Receivership accounts and tax filings and the process I used to allocate the assets and expenses of the Receivership estate and analyze the various available methods of distribution.

3. Upon completion of the processes described in my *Declaration* and taking into account the corrected initial contribution amounts for Claim Nos. 93 and 124, my calculations show the following asset allocation between the Receivership Entities:

Cash Assets as of 3/31/17:	\$ 5,946,033.67	
Initial Distribution Amount:	\$ 4,739,532.09	
Initial Distribution Amount on Entity-by-Entity Basis:		
	Acartha	\$ 126,951.69
	MIC VII	\$ 647,441.95
	ATP	\$ 3,871,951.02
	Gryphon III	\$ 93,187.43

4. Further, with respect to each of the distribution methods considered by the Receiver (ownership pro rata, net investment pro rata, and rising tide pro rata), my updated analysis shows the following:

A. For <u>Acartha (Class 1-A)</u>, the three methodologies still produce the same results for each of the Class 1-A Allowed Claimants. In other words, the Class 1-A Claimants' distribution amounts are identical under each of the three distribution methodologies. Furthermore, under each scenario, the Class 1-A Allowed Claimants each recover the same percentage of their investments through the Receivership's distribution. *See* Attachment 1.

B. For <u>ATP (Class 1-B)</u>, the ownership pro rata methodology provides for a Receivership recovery for all Class 1-B Allowed Claimants and results in a standard deviation in total percentage recoveries between Allowed Claimants of 0.385. The net investment methodology provides for distributions to 66 percent of Class 1-B Allowed Claimants and reduces the standard deviation between total percentage recoveries to 0.237. The rising tide methodology results in the distribution of the available assets to twenty-one Class 1-B Allowed Claimants, raising the lowest percentage of pre-Receivership recovery from no recovery (0 percent) to a 74.33 percent recovery and reducing the standard deviation between total percentage recoveries to 0.177. *See* Attachment 2.

C. For <u>MIC VII (Class 1-C)</u>, the ownership pro rata methodology provides for a recovery for all Class 1-C Claimants and results in a standard deviation in total percentage recoveries between Allowed Claimants of 0.119. The net investment methodology provides for distributions to all Class 1-C Allowed Claimants and reduces the standard deviation between total percentage recoveries to 0.115. The rising tide methodology results in the distribution of the available assets to twenty-one Class 1-C Allowed Claimants, raising the lowest percentage of investment recovery from no pre-Receivership recovery (0 percent) to a 14.36 percent recovery and reducing the standard deviation between total percentage recoveries to 0.057. *See* **Attachment 3**.

- 3 -

D. For <u>Gryphon III (Class 1-D)</u>, the ownership pro rata methodology provides a recovery for all Class 1-D Allowed Claimants and results in a standard deviation in total percentage recoveries between Allowed Claimants of 0.00369. The net investment methodology also provides for distributions to all Class 1-D Allowed Claimants and reduces the standard deviation between total percentage recoveries to 0.00344. The rising tide methodology results in the distribution of the available assets to all four Class 1-D Allowed Claimants, raising the lowest percentage of investment recovery from a 2.12 percent recovery to a 8.89 recovery. It brings all investors up to a 8.89 percent return on investment. *See* Attachment 4.

- Signature page follows -

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. This Declaration was executed this \_1\_ day of \_\_June\_\_\_\_\_, 2017.

N= 0355

Timothy S. O'Shaughnessy

### Case: 4:12-cv-00080-CEJ Doc. #: 533-3 Filed: 06/01/17 Page: 1 of 1 PageID #: 13857

Attachment 1 Updated 6/1/17

Claim No.	Initial Investment	Pre-Receivership Distributions	Ownership Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %	Rising Tide Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %	Net Investment Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %
13	2,000,000.00	-	16,380.86	0.82%	16,380.86	0.82%	16,380.86	0.82%
14	1,500,000.00	-	12,285.65	0.82%	1 <b>2,28</b> 5.65	0.82%	12,285.65	0.82%
18	2,500,000.00	-	20,476.08	0.82%	20,476.08	0.82%	20,476.08	0.82%
22	1,500,000.00	-	12,285.65	0.82%	12,285.65	0.82%	12,285.65	0.82%
26	200,000.00	-	1,638.09	0.82%	1,638.09	0.82%	1,638.09	0.82%
28	1,500,000.00	-	12,285.65	0.82%	12,285.65	0.82%	12,285.65	0.82%
29	1,250,000.00	-	10,238.04	0.82%	10,238.04	0.82%	10,238.04	0.82%
30	1,250,000.00	-	10,238.04	0.82%	10,238.04	0.82%	10,238.04	0.82%
33	500,000.00	-	4,095.22	0.82%	4,095.22	0.82%	4,095.22	0.82%
48	2,500,000.00	-	20,476.08	0.82%	20,476.08	0.82%	20,476.08	0.82%
49	500,000.00	-	4,095.22	0.82%	4,095.22	0.82%	4,095.22	0.82%
52	300,000.00	-	2,457.13	0.82%	2,457.13	0.82%	2,457.13	0.82%
TOTALS	15,500,000.00	_	126,951.69		126,951.69		126,951.69	

### Acartha Group, LLC (Class 1-A) - All Methodologies

### Case: 4:12-cv-00080-CEJ Doc. #: 533-4 Filed: 06/01/17 Page: 1 of 3 PageID #: 13858

Attachment 2 Updated 6/1/17

### Acartha Technology Partners, L.P. (Class 1-B) - All Methodologies

Claim No.	Initial Investment	Pre-Receivership Distributions	Ownership Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %	Rising Tide Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %	Net Investment Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %
41	9,650,000.00	4,414,146.00	1,771,891.40	64.10%	2,758,536.89	74.33%	2,725,035.15	73.98%
86	100,000.00	-	33,841.49	33.84%	74,328.32	74.33%	52,045.67	52.05%
87	50,000.00	-	16,920.75	33.84%	37,164.16	74.33%	26,022.83	52.05%
88	25,000.00	-	8,460.37	33.84%	18,582.08	74.33%	13,011.42	52.05%
89	50,000.00	-	16,920.75	33.84%	37,164.16	74.33%	26,022.83	52.05%
90	50,000.00	-	16,920.75	33.84%	37,164.16	74.33%	26,022.83	52.05%
91	50,000.00	-	16,920.75	33.84%	37,164.16	74.33%	26,022.83	52.05%
92	50,000.00	-	16,920.75	33.84%	37,164.16	74.33%	26,022.83	52.05%
93	300,000.00	-	101,524.50	33.84%	222,984.96	74.33%	156,137.00	52.05%
94	300,000.00	-	101,524.50	33.84%	222,984.96	74.33%	156,137.00	52.05%
95	25,000.00	-	8,460.38	33.84%	18,582.08	74.33%	13,011.42	52.05%
96	50,000.00	-	16,920.75	33.84%	37,164.16	74.33%	26,022.83	52.05%
97	129,000.00	49,490.23	26,907.30	59.22%	46,393.30	74.33%	41,381.39	70.44%
98	80,000.00	24,745.12	18,699.08	54.31%	34,717.54	74.33%	28,757.77	66.88%
99	300,000.00	148,470.70	51,279.79	66.58%	74,514.26	74.33%	78,864.44	75.78%
100	27,500.00	12,372.57	5,129.17	63.64%	8,067.72	74.33%	7,873.17	73.62%
101	165,000.00	74,235.35	30,800.38	63.66%	48,406.38	74.33%	47,239.07	73.62%
102	243,500.00	220,756.23	50,666.50	111.47%	-	90.66%	11,837.15	95.52%
103	32,500.00	30,660.59	6,590.65	114.62%	-	94.34%	957.33	97.29%
104	55,000.00	61,321.18	9,768.73	129.25%	-	111.49%	-	111.49%
105	130,000.00	122,642.34	26,361.94	114.62%	-	94.34%	3,829.34	97.29%
106	341,000.00	380,191.27	60,740.42	129.31%	-	111.49%	-	111.49%
107	100,000.00	122,642.34	16,153.31	138.80%	-	122.64%	-	122.64%
108	25,000.00	30,660.59	4,038.32	138.80%	-	122.64%	-	122.64%
109	25,000.00	30,660.59	4,037.27	138.79%	-	122.64%	-	122.64%
110	75,000.00	92,281.76	12,114.99	139.20%	-	123.04%	-	123.04%
111	27,500.00	30,660.59	4,898.58	129.31%	-	111.49%	-	111.49%

## Case: 4:12-cv-00080-CEJ Doc. #: 533-4 Filed: 06/01/17 Page: 2 of 3 PageID #: 13859

Attachment 2

Updated 6/1/17

Claim No.	Initial Investment	Pre-Receivership Distributions	Ownership Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %	Rising Tide Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %	Net Investment Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %
112	32,500.00	30,660.59	6,590.65	114.62%	-	94.34%	957.33	97.29%
113	115,000.00	122,642.34	21,229.54	125.11%	-	106.65%	-	106.65%
116	27,500.00	30,660.59	4,898.58	129.31%	-	111.49%	-	111.49%
117	115,000.00	61,321.54	30,101.72	79.50%	24,156.03	74.33%	27,937.31	77.62%
118	65,000.00	28,530.74	13,180.97	64.17%	19,782.67	74.33%	18,980.67	73.09%
119	125,000.00	122,642.34	24,669.86	117.85%	-	98.11%	1,227.06	99.10%
120	155,000.00	122,642.86	34,822.48	101.59%	-	79.12%	16,840.49	89.99%
121	155,000.00	122,642.86	34,822.48	101.59%	-	79.12%	16,840.49	89.99%
122	135,000.00	122,642.35	28,047.58	111.62%	-	90.85%	6,431.62	95.61%
123	32,500.00	30,660.59	6,590.65	114.62%	-	94.34%	957.33	97.29%
124	275,000.00	197,147.70	50,339.54	90.00%	7,255.18	74.33%	40,518.75	86.42%
125	465,000.00	367,927.06	104,417.53	101.58%	-	79.12%	50,522.26	89.99%
126	110,000.00	122,642.34	19,593.64	129.31%	-	111.49%	-	111.49%
127	110,000.00	122,642.34	19,593.86	129.31%	-	111.49%	-	111.49%
128	220,000.00	245,284.69	39,187.62	129.31%	-	111.49%	-	111.49%
129	150,000.00	122,642.34	33,130.24	103.85%	-	81.76%	14,238.48	91.25%
130	125,000.00	122,642.34	24,669.86	117.85%	-	98.11%	1,227.06	99.10%
131	125,000.00	122,642.34	24,669.86	117.85%	-	98.11%	1,227.06	99.10%
132	125,000.00	23,236.70	24,669.86	38.33%	69,673.70	74.33%	52,963.39	60.96%
133	220,000.00	245,284.69	39,187.62	129.31%	-	111.49%	-	111.49%
134	275,000.00	306,605.86	48,984.44	129.31%	-	111.49%	-	111.49%
135	99,000.00	91,981.75	20,279.59	113.40%	-	92.91%	3,652.70	96.60%
136	60,000.00	61,321.18	11,488.90	121.35%	-	102.20%	-	102.20%
137	112,500.00	104,245.99	23,084.45	113.18%	-	92.66%	4,295.85	96.48%
138	770,000.00	674,532.90	163,604.17	108.85%	-	87.60%	49,686.49	94.05%
139	275,000.00	306,605.86	48,984.44	129.31%	-	111.49%	-	111.49%
140	750,000.00	613,211.72	165,651.54	103.85%	-	81.76%	71,192.37	91.25%
141	100,000.00	122,642.34	16,153.38	138.80%	-	122.64%	-	122.64%
142	250,000.00	306,605.86	40,383.28	138.80%	-	122.64%	-	122.64%

## Case: 4:12-cv-00080-CEJ Doc. #: 533-4 Filed: 06/01/17 Page: 3 of 3 PageID #: 13860

Attachment 2

Updated 6/1/17

Claim No.	Initial Investment	Pre-Receivership Distributions	Ownership Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %	Rising Tide Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %	Net Investment Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %
143	1,650,000.00	1,839,617.19	293,508.38	129.28%	-	111.49%	-	111.49%
TOTALS	19,680,000.00	12,760,447.44	3,871,950.26		3,871,951.02		3,871,951.02	

### Case: 4:12-cv-00080-CEJ Doc. #: 533-5 Filed: 06/01/17 Page: 1 of 2 PageID #: 13861

Attachment 3 Updated 6/1/17

### MIC VIII, LLC (Class 1-C) - All Methodologies

Claim No.	Initial Investment	Pre- Receivership Distributions	Ownership Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %	Rising Tide Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %	Net Investment Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %
8	100,000.00	19,096.00	2,483.49	21.58%	-	19.10%	2,454.31	21.55%
9	250,000.00	69,910.48	6,390.79	30.52%	-	27.96%	•	30.15%
15	20,000.00	5,388.63	496.69	29.43%	-	26.94%	443.25	29.16%
22	2,020,898.74	349,343.82	50,173.94	19.77%	-	17.29%	50,708.35	19.80%
24	632,911.39	109,776.88	16,113.81	19.89%	-	17.34%	15,869.83	19.85%
27	550,000.00	156,244.06	14,653.61	31.07%	-	28.41%	11,944.99	30.58%
31	3,259,576.96	802,641.00	80,818.52	27.10%	-	24.62%	74,533.69	26.91%
32	3,259,576.96	802,641.00	76,364.56	26.97%	-	24.62%	74,533.69	26.91%
35	1,300,000.00	367,388.01	33,799.25	30.86%	-	28.26%	28,291.75	30.44%
36	30,000.00	6,907.13	745.06	25.51%	-	23.02%	700.55	25.36%
37	154,676.05	41,946.17	3,834.82	29.60%	-	27.12%	3,419.78	29.33%
38	700,000.00	197,815.09	18,198.60	30.86%	-	28.26%	15,234.30	30.44%
39	100,000.00	13,695.00	2,483.49	16.18%	660.54	14.36%	2,618.15	16.31%
40	20,000.00	5,668.36	523.33	30.96%	-	28.34%	434.77	30.52%
42	649,336.24	109,778.73	16,114.48	19.39%	-	16.91%	16,368.03	19.43%
44	975,821.19	201,938.44	24,215.56	23.18%	-	20.69%	23,476.53	23.10%
45	1,044,303.80	170,778.66	25,935.20	18.84%	-	16.35%	26,499.29	18.89%
46	537,974.68	50,826.46	13,360.57	11.93%	26,402.69	14.36%	14,778.14	12.19%
47	2,140,805.00	400,058.00	50,173.94	21.03%	-	18.69%	52,807.36	21.15%
48	3,496,597.00	257,827.87	94,309.86	10.07%	244,127.36	14.36%	98,251.41	10.18%
49	600,000.00	170,197.21	15,718.72	30.99%	-	28.37%	13,038.51	30.54%
50	25,000.00	7,098.73	654.38	31.01%	-	28.39%	543.05	30.57%
53	550,000.00	157,388.42	14,412.85	31.24%	-	28.62%	11,910.28	30.78%
54	400,000.00	86,354.97	10,483.35	24.21%	-	21.59%	9,514.75	23.97%
114	267,527.49	50,787.56	6,445.79	21.39%	-	18.98%	6,575.03	21.44%
115	410,381.00	41,549.42	10,184.35	12.61%	17,362.97	14.36%	11,188.89	12.85%
N/A	2,500,000.00	-	58,592.37	2.34%	358,888.39	14.36%	75,840.08	3.03%

# Case: 4:12-cv-00080-CEJ Doc. #: 533-5 Filed: 06/01/17 Page: 2 of 2 PageID #: 13862

Attachment 3 Updated 6/1/17

Claim No.	Initial Investment	Pre- Receivership Distributions	Ownership Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %	Rising Tide Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %	Net Investment Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %
TOTALS	25,995,386.50	4,653,046.10	647,681.35		647,441.95		647,441.95	
69	50,000.00	-	1,171.85	2.34%	7,177.77	14.36%	1,516.80	3.03%
70	1,250,000.00	-	29,296.18	2.34%	179,444.20	14.36%	37,920.04	3.03%
71	250,000.00	-	5,859.24	2.34%	35,888.84	14.36%	7,584.01	3.03%
72	50,000.00	-	1,171.85	2.34%	7,177.77	14.36%	1,516.80	3.03%
73	40,000.00	-	937.48	2.34%	5,742.21	14.36%	1,213.44	3.03%
74	70,000.00	-	1,640.59	2.34%	10,048.88	14.36%	2,123.52	3.03%
75	250,000.00	-	5,859.24	2.34%	35,888.84	14.36%	7,584.01	3.03%
76	33,000.00	-	773.42	2.34%	4,737.33	14.36%	1,001.09	3.03%
77	33,000.00	-	773.42	2.34%	4,737.33	14.36%	1,001.09	3.03%
78	34,000.00	-	796.86	2.34%	4,880.88	14.36%	1,031.43	3.03%
79	100,000.00	-	2,343.69	2.34%	14,355.54	14.36%	3,033.60	3.03%
80	75,000.00	-	1,757.77	2.34%	10,766.65	14.36%	2,275.20	3.03%
81	25,000.00	-	585.92	2.34%	3,588.88	14.36%	758.40	3.03%
82	25,000.00	-	585.92	2.34%	3,588.88	14.36%	758.40	3.03%
83	50,000.00	-	1,171.85	2.34%	7,177.77	14.36%	1,516.80	3.03%
84	100,000.00	-	2,343.69	2.34%	14,355.54	14.36%	3,033.60	3.03%
85	65,000.00	-	1,523.40	2.34%	9,331.10	14.36%	1,971.84	3.03%
SUB-TOTALS	2,500,000.00	-	58,592.37		358,888.39		75,840.08	

### Case: 4:12-cv-00080-CEJ Doc. #: 533-6 Filed: 06/01/17 Page: 1 of 1 PageID #: 13863

Attachment 4 Updated 6/1/17

Claim No.	Initial Investment	Pre- Receivership Distributions	Ownership Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %	Rising Tide Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %	Net Investment Pro Rata Distribution Amount	Total Return %
11	500,000.00	10,615.70	33,281.23	8.78%	33,808.32	8.88%	33,317.11	8.79%
23	250,000.00	5,307.85	16,640.61	8.78%	16,904.16	8.88%	16,658.56	8.79%
62	450,000.00	9,554.00	29,953.10	8.78%	30,427.62	8.88%	29,985.41	8.79%
114	200,000.00	5,722.28	13,312.49	9.52%	12,047.33	8.88%	13,226.36	9.47%
TOTALS	1,400,000.00	31,199.83	93,187.43		93,187.43		93,187.43	

### Gryphon Investments III, LLC (Class 1-D) - All Methodologies

Case: 4:12-cv-00080-CEJ Doc. #: 533-7 Filed: 06/01/17 Page: 1 of 9 PageID #: 13864

### Updated Proposed Order

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
BURTON DOUGLAS MORRISS,	)
ACARTHA GROUP, LLC,	)
MIC VII, LLC,	) Case No. 4:12-CV-00080-CEJ
ACARTHA TECHNOLOGY PARTNERS, LP, and	)
GRYPHON INVESTMENTS III, LLC,	ý )
Defendants, and	)
MORRISS HOLDINGS, LLC,	)
Relief Defendant.	<i>)</i> )

#### [PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Amended Motion to Approve Plan of Distribution, Approve Schedule of Claims, Authorize Distributions of Receivership Assets, and Approve Partial Payment of Holdback Amount Pertaining to Legal and Professional Services Rendered by the Receiver, Retained Counsel, and Other Professionals, the memorandum in support thereof, and all exhibits attached thereto (ECF No. 527, the "Motion"), as supplemented by the documents attached to the Notice of Filing of Updated Distribution Schedules (ECF No. 533), filed by Claire M. Schenk, the court-appointed receiver (the "Receiver") for Acartha Group, LLC; MIC VII, LLC; Acartha Technology Partners, LP; and Gryphon Investments III, LLC (collectively, the "Receivership Entities").<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On April 20, 2017, the Receiver filed her Motion to Approve Plan of Distribution, Approve Schedule of Claims, Authorize Distributions of Receivership Assets, and Approve Partial Payment of Holdback Amount Pertaining to Legal and Professional Services Rendered by the Receiver, Retained Counsel, and Other Professionals and memorandum in support thereof (ECF Nos. 515, 516) (collectively, the "Original Motion"). On May 9, 2017, the

The Motion seeks Court approval of: (i) the Receiver's determinations of allowance and/or disallowance on filed claims, (ii) the Receiver's methodology for allocation of assets and expenses between the Receivership Entities, (iii) the Receiver's determinations regarding classification and priority of allowed claims, (iv) the Receiver's methodology for distribution of Receivership assets to allowed claimants, and (v) the Receiver's request for allowance and payment of 80 percent of the legal and professional fees of the Receiver, her counsel, and her professionals incurred and remaining unpaid as of December 31, 2016.

Having fully considered the Motion, finding that no objections have been filed, and being duly advised as to the merits, the Court finds that there is good cause to grant the Motion. The actions to be taken by the Receiver in connection with the proposed plan of distribution are reasonable and within the Receiver's sound business discretion, are fair and equitable under the particular circumstances of this case, and are in the best interests of the Receivership estate and the allowed claimants of the Receivership Entities.

The Court also finds that interested parties were afforded adequate notice and an opportunity to be heard in a meaningful manner on the relief requested in the Motion. The Receiver electronically served all Interested Parties (as defined in the Motion), included in the service communication the time limits for filing objections to motions under the Court's local rules, and posted a copy of the Original Motion and the Motion on the Receivership's website.

Receiver filed her Motion to Amend/Correct Receiver's Motion to Approve Distribution Plan, along with the Amended Motion to Approve Plan of Distribution, Approve Schedule of Claims, Authorize First Interim Distribution of Receivership Assets, and Approve Partial Payment of Holdback Amount Pertaining to Legal and Professional Services Rendered by the Receiver, Retained Counsel, and Other Professionals and updated exhibits to account for minor adjustments to certain of the numbers reported in the Motion (ECF No. 525). On May 10, 2017, the Court granted the Receiver leave to file the amended motion and mooted the Original Motion (ECF No. 526). The clerk entered the Receiver's amended motion on the docket on May 10, 2017 (ECF No. 527). On May 26, 2017, the holders of Claim Nos. 93 and 124 filed a Consent Motion seeking the Court's approval to assert a late objection to the reporting of their initial contribution amounts in the Motion (ECF No. 530). The Court granted the Consent Motion on May 30, 2017 (ECF No. 532). The Receiver's supplemental filing (ECF No. 533) addresses the matters raised in the Consent Motion.

Case: 4:12-cv-00080-CEJ Doc. #: 533-7 Filed: 06/01/17 Page: 3 of 9 PageID #: 13866

The procedure for objections to motions under this Court's local rules were available to interested parties as a means to object and be heard.

### NOW THEREFORE, THE COURT DOES HEREBY ORDER THAT

#### 1. <u>MOTION</u>.

The Receiver's Motion is granted in its entirety.

#### 2. <u>ALLOWANCE OF CLAIMS</u>.

The Receiver's recommendations on claim allowance and disallowance and the claim amounts as set forth in the <u>Schedule of Allowed Claims</u> and <u>Schedule of Disallowed Claims</u>, attached hereto as **Exhibit A-1** and **Exhibit A-2**, are approved [excepting only Claim No. 20 filed by Hany Teylouni. The allowance or disallowance of Mr. Teylouni's claim will be [or has been] decided by the Court pursuant to an order on the briefings filed by the parties in connection with Mr. Teylouni's objection to the Receiver's recommendation of disallowance of Claim No. 20.] All claims listed on the Schedule of Allowed Claims shall be referred to herein as "Allowed Claims." Holders of such Allowed Claims shall be referred to as Allowed Claimants.

#### 3. <u>APPROVAL OF THE RECEIVER'S DISTRIBUTION PLAN.</u>

The Receiver's Distribution Plan, as set forth in the memorandum in support of the Motion, the Declaration of Timothy O'Shaughnessy, and the schedules attached thereto (which schedules are attached hereto as **Exhibit B-1**, **Exhibit B-2**, **Exhibit B-3**, and **Exhibit B-4** [currently Attachments 1-4 to the Supplemental Declaration of Timothy S. O'Shaughnessy filed with the Receiver's Notice of Filing of Updated Distribution Schedules]), is approved. In particular, but without limiting the proposals set forth in the Distribution Plan:

#### A. <u>Allocation of Assets</u>.

#### Case: 4:12-cv-00080-CEJ Doc. #: 533-7 Filed: 06/01/17 Page: 4 of 9 PageID #: 13867

The Receiver's methodology for the allocation of assets of the Receivership Estate between the Receivership Entities is approved. Where an asset or recovery can be linked to a harm particular to a single Receivership Entity or an investment or portfolio interest held by one or more, but not all, Receivership Entities, the Receiver shall allocate that asset or recovery to the particular Receivership Entity(ies) involved. Where an asset or recovery cannot be linked to a harm particular to a single Receivership Entity or an investment or portfolio interest held by one or more, but not all, Receivership Entity or an investment or portfolio interest held by one or more, but not all, Receivership Entities, but instead resulted from a jointly-held asset or a recovery sought for the benefit of the entire Estate ("Shared Assets"), the Receiver shall allocate that asset or recovery between the Receivership Entities in proportion to the size of the initial cash investment in each of the Receivership Entities.

Pursuant to this methodology, the Receiver shall allocate the Shared Assets between the Receivership Entities as follows: 24.77 percent to Acartha Group, LLC; 41.54 percent to MIC VII, LLC; 31.45 percent to Acartha Technology Partners, L.P.; and 2.24 percent to Gryphon Investments III, LLC. The Receiver is authorized to take all actions necessary for effectuation of the allocations approved herein.

#### B. Allocation of Receivership Expenses.

The Receiver's methodology for the allocation of expenses of the Receivership Estate between the Receivership Entities is approved. The Receiver shall allocate all Receivership expenses between the four Receivership Entities in proportion to the size of the initial cash investment in each of the Receivership Entities.

Pursuant to this methodology, the Receiver shall allocate the Receivership expenses between the Receivership Entities as follows: 24.77 percent to Acartha Group, LLC; 41.54 percent to MIC VII, LLC; 31.45 percent to Acartha Technology Partners, L.P.; and 2.24 percent

- 4 -

to Gryphon Investments III, LLC. The Receiver is authorized to take all actions necessary for effectuation of the allocations approved herein.

#### C. Claim Classification and Priority.

The Receiver's proposal for the classification and priority treatment of Allowed Claims is approved. The Allowed Claims shall be divided into four main classes: (1) Cash Investors (Classes 1-A, 1-B, 1-C, and 1-D), (2) Exchange-Loss Investors (Class 2-A), (3) Unsecured Creditors (Classes 3-A and 3-B), and (4) Professional and Employee Claims (Classes 4-A, 4-B, 4-C, and 4-D). The Receiver's proposed classification of individual claims, as set forth in **Exhibit A-1** and **Exhibit A-2**, is approved as set forth in Paragraph 2 above. The Classes shall be prioritized in descending order. Allowed Claimants in Classes 1-A through 1-D (Cash Investors) shall receive the highest priority to Receivership assets. The remaining classes (Class 2, Class 3, and Class 4) will follow in second, third, and fourth priority, respectively.

The foregoing classification and priority treatment of Allowed Claims is fair and equitable under the circumstances of this case. Allowed Claimants are grouped with other similarly situated Allowed Claimants into one of four categories determined by the Allowed Claimant's (1) status as an investor, trade creditor, or former employee or professional and (2) for investors, the method of contribution (cash or exchange). Allowed Claimants within each of the Class categories will receive the same treatment. Further, the Receiver's differing treatment of the cash investors and the exchange-loss investors in Acartha Group, LLC is reasonable and equitable based upon the manner in which the two groups of investors participated in Acartha Group, LLC. The Receiver's prioritization of the claims of the cash investors is also fair and equitable because the Receiver was appointed in connection with the SEC's civil enforcement action against the Receivership Defendants. The SEC's allegations in its enforcement action

against Burton Douglas Morriss resulted in the entry of a Judgment of Permanent Injunction and Other Relief as to Morriss on August 13, 2013 (ECF No. 275), which precluded Morriss from arguing that he did not violate the federal securities laws as alleged in the *SEC Complaint* in connection with an SEC motion for disgorgement and/or civil penalty and determined, for purposes of such a motion, that the allegations in the *SEC Complaint* shall be accepted as and deemed true by the Court. On February 26, 2014, the Court entered its Final Judgment as to Morriss (ECF No. 314), in which the Court ordered that Morriss disgorge \$9.1 million, representing profits gained as a result of the conduct alleged in the *SEC Complaint*, along with prejudgment interest of \$416,090.71.

As alleged by the SEC, Morriss's fraudulent conduct was directed toward the investors. Investors were not informed that Morriss would be taking invested monies and using them for personal purposes. Also, as alleged by the SEC, Morriss circumvented the requirements of the MIC VII operating documents to allow new investors into MIC VII, then effectively used the new investor funds to satisfy a personal loan. As such, affording cash investors the highest priority ensures that those investors benefit the most from the assets recovered by the Receiver.

#### D. Distribution Methodology.

The Receiver's proposed methodology for distributing the assets of the Receivership is approved. The Receiver shall distribute the assets of the Receivership Estate to Allowed Claimants using the rising tide pro rata method of distribution. In accordance with the calculations performed by the Receivership's accountant, the Receiver shall distribute the available assets to Allowed Claimants in Classes 1-A through 1-D on an increasing basis, devoting available assets to those Allowed Claimants who lost the greatest percentage of their investment until they reach parity with other Allowed Claimants who lost a smaller percentage of their investment. *See* Exhibits B-1, B-2, B-3, and B-4. Furthermore, in calculating the distributions to Allowed Claimants, the Receiver shall rely on the pre-Receivership investment and distribution amounts provided to the Receiver through the claims filing and bar date process, except where it is necessary for the Receiver to resolve discrepancies in pre-Receivership investment or distribution amounts by relying on Receivership records. The initial investment and pre-Receivership distribution amounts for each Allowed Claim are set forth in Exhibits B-1, B-2, B-3, and B-4. Because the assets of the Receivership Estate are insufficient to fully satisfy Allowed Claims in Class 1, the Receiver need not determine pro rata participation percentages for Allowed Claimants in Classes 2 through 4.

Use of the foregoing methodology (rising tide pro rata) is fair, equitable, and reasonable under the circumstances of this case. First, distributing assets pro rata is a fair and equitable method of distribution where, as here, the assets to be distributed are insufficient to fully satisfy the outstanding claims against the estate. Second, as between the various methods of pro rata distribution, the rising tide method is most equitable for this case. Distribution using the rising tide methodology will most equitably distribute the available assets to those Class 1 Allowed Claimants who benefited the least from pre-Receivership distributions and will equalize, to the greatest extent possible, the total recoveries (pre- and post-Receivership) of each Allowed Claimant on an entity-by-entity basis. By using rising tide, the Receiver is able to reduce the amount of variation in each Class 1 Allowed Claimant's total percentage recovery—thereby equalizing the recoveries of all Allowed Claimants in Class 1 to the greatest extent possible.

#### E. <u>Distribution(s) of Liquid Assets</u>.

The Receiver is authorized to make one or more distributions of Receivership assets to Allowed Claimants in Class 1 in accordance with the claim classification, priority, and distribution methodology approved herein. The Receiver shall make a first interim distribution to Allowed Claimants in Class 1 of approximately 80 percent of the Receivership assets as expeditiously as possible. Future distributions shall be made in accordance with the claim classification, priority, and distribution methodology approved herein. The Receiver is authorized to take any and all actions necessary to effectuate the first interim distribution and all subsequent distributions to Allowed Claimants.

#### 4. <u>PAYMENT OF PORTION OF HOLDBACK EXPENSES</u>.

The Receiver's request for allowance and authorization to pay 80 percent of the legal and professional fee holdback incurred and remaining unpaid as of December 31, 2016 is approved. The following fees are allowed and the Receiver is authorized to make the following payments out of the assets of the Receivership estate:

	Total	\$256,840.85
FTL Capital		\$2,387.20
Pepper Hamilton LLP		\$453.14
CliftonLarsonAllen LLP		\$20,270.46
Segue Equity Group, LLC		\$11,827.43
Thompson Coburn LLP		\$221,902.62

#### 5. <u>AUTHORIZATION TO TAKE STEPS NECESSARY FOR RECEIVERSHIP</u> <u>WIND-UP</u>.

In addition to the authorities described above, the Court further authorizes the Receiver to take all necessary steps to achieve a winding up of the Receivership's assets and estate. These actions may include, but are not limited to, taking such actions to effectuate future distribution(s) of Receivership assets to Allowed Claimants in accordance with claim classification, priority, and distribution methodology approved herein, resolving the intercompany entries between Receivership Entities through debt cancellation during calendar

year 2017, reporting a "theft loss" allocated to Allowed Claimants in Class 1 and 2 as described in the Receiver's memorandum, and distributing and assigning any unliquidated assets of the Receivership Entities to the Allowed Claimants in those Entities in proportion to the respective interests held by such Allowed Claimants prior to or as part of the wind up of this proceeding.

**SO ORDERED** this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2017.

THE HONORABLE CAROL E. JACKSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE