# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION 

```
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE )
COMMISSION, )
    Plaintiff,
v.
BURTON DOUGLAS MORRISS, et al.,
    Defendants, and
MORRISS HOLDINGS, LLC,
    Relief Defendant.
RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF ORDERS ON: (1) RECEIVER'S MOTION
    TO APPROVE PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION, APPROVE SCHEDULE OF CLAIMS,
    AUTHORIZE DISTRIBUTIONS OF RECEIVERSHIP ASSETS, AND APPROVE
PARTIAL PAYMENT OF HOLDBACK AMOUNT PERTAINING TO LEGAL AND
    PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RENDERED BY THE RECEIVER, RETAINED
    COUNSEL, AND OTHER PROFESSIONALS; AND (2) HANY TEYLOUNI'S
                    OBJECTION TO RECEIVER'S NOTICE OF DETERMINATION
```

On April 20, 2017, Claire M. Schenk, as Receiver ("Receiver") over Acartha Group, LLC, MIC VII, LLC, Acartha Technology Partners, LP, and Gryphon Investments III, LLC (collectively, the "Receivership Entities"), filed the Motion to Approve Plan of Distribution, Approve Schedule of Claims, Authorize Distributions of Receivership Assets, and Approve Partial Payment of Holdback Amount Pertaining to Legal and Professional Services Rendered by the Receiver, Retained Counsel, and Other Professionals and Memorandum in Support thereof (ECF Nos. 515, 516). The Receiver amended the motion on May 9, 2017 by filing the Receiver's Motion to Amend/Correct Receiver's Motion to Approve Distribution Plan, which included an Amended Motion to Approve Plan of Distribution, Approve Schedule of Claims, Authorize Distributions of Receivership Assets, and Approve Partial Payment of Holdback

Amount Pertaining to Legal and Professional Services Rendered by the Receiver, Retained Counsel, and Other Professionals and Memorandum in Support thereof (ECF No. 525). On May 10, 2017, the Court granted the Receiver leave to amend/correct the motion (ECF No. 526), and the Clerk docketed the Receiver's amended/corrected motion (ECF No. 527) (the "Distribution Plan Motion"). Following the Court's allowance of a late objection to the Receiver's schedules attached to the Distribution Plan Motion (ECF No. 532), the Receiver filed updated distribution schedules for the Court to consider in connection with the Distribution Plan Motion (ECF No. 533). The Receiver served copies of all filings related to the Distribution Plan Motion upon all parties receiving notice in this case via the Court's CM/ECF system and also electronically on Interested Parties (as defined in ECF No. 516). In addition, the Receiver has posted copies of all filings related to the Distribution Plan Motion to the Receiver's external website, at http://www.thompsoncoburn.com/acartha. The Receiver has responded to all informal inquiries from Interested Parties related to the Distribution Plan Motion, no formal objections have been filed in response to any filing related to the Distribution Plan Motion, and the time for objections to the relief requested in the Distribution Plan Motion has passed.

In addition, Hany Teylouni's objection the Receiver's determination of disallowance as to Mr. Teylouni's claim remains pending before the Court (see ECF Nos. 337, 344, 347-51, 37879, 448-50, 452, 486). Mr. Teylouni's claim is based on alleged deferred compensation that accrued during his employment with Acartha Group (and/or ATP). ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Teylouni's objections and the Receiver's response are before the Court in separate motion papers. Mr. Teylouni's objection has bearing on the Receiver's Distribution Plan Motion because the Distribution Plan Motion seeks the Court's approval of the Receiver's recommended claim determinations,

[^0]including the determination of disallowance on Mr. Teylouni's claim, and the classification, priority, and treatment of all claims recommended for allowance. Mr. Teylouni's objection awaits the decision of the Court.

The Court's decision on these pending motions is an essential next step to the Receiver's continued execution of her obligation to administer the assets of the Receivership Entities. With a distribution plan in place, the Receiver will be able to distribute the majority of the Receivership's assets to harmed investors and move toward a wind up of the Receivership proceeding. For these reasons, among others, the Receiver respectfully requests that the Court enter decision on the Distribution Plan Motion and Mr. Teylouni's objection. For the Court's convenience, the Receiver has attached the Receiver's proposed order on the Distribution Plan Motion, with relevant exhibits, as Exhibit A to this Notice.

Respectfully Submitted, THOMPSON COBURN LLP

Dated: June 15, 2017
By /s/ Kathleen E. Kraft
Stephen B. Higgins, \#25728MO
Brian A. Lamping \#61054MO
One US Bank Plaza
St. Louis, Missouri 63101
Phone: (314) 552-6000
Fax: (314) 552-7000
shiggins@thompsoncoburn.com
blamping@thompsoncoburn.com
Kathleen E. Kraft \#58601MO
1909 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
Phone: (202) 585-6922
Fax: (202) 508-1035
kkraft@thompsoncoburn.com

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 15,2017 , I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court through the Court's CM/ECF system which will send a notice of electronic filing to all counsel of record receiving electronic service.

I further certify that I served the foregoing document via electronic mail on all Interested Parties (as defined in the Motion (ECF No. 516)).
/s/ Kathleen E. Kraft

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION 



## [PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Amended Motion to Approve Plan of Distribution, Approve Schedule of Claims, Authorize Distributions of Receivership Assets, and Approve Partial Payment of Holdback Amount Pertaining to Legal and Professional Services Rendered by the Receiver, Retained Counsel, and Other Professionals, the memorandum in support thereof, and all exhibits attached thereto (ECF No. 527, the "Motion"), as supplemented by the documents attached to the Notice of Filing of Updated Distribution Schedules (ECF No. 533), filed by Claire M. Schenk, the court-appointed receiver (the "Receiver") for Acartha Group, LLC; MIC VII, LLC; Acartha Technology Partners, LP; and Gryphon Investments III, LLC (collectively, the "Receivership Entities"). ${ }^{1}$

[^1]The Motion seeks Court approval of: (i) the Receiver's determinations of allowance and/or disallowance on filed claims, (ii) the Receiver's methodology for allocation of assets and expenses between the Receivership Entities, (iii) the Receiver's determinations regarding classification and priority of allowed claims, (iv) the Receiver's methodology for distribution of Receivership assets to allowed claimants, and (v) the Receiver's request for allowance and payment of 80 percent of the legal and professional fees of the Receiver, her counsel, and her professionals incurred and remaining unpaid as of December 31, 2016.

Having fully considered the Motion, finding that no objections have been filed, and being duly advised as to the merits, the Court finds that there is good cause to grant the Motion. The actions to be taken by the Receiver in connection with the proposed plan of distribution are reasonable and within the Receiver's sound business discretion, are fair and equitable under the particular circumstances of this case, and are in the best interests of the Receivership estate and the allowed claimants of the Receivership Entities.

The Court also finds that interested parties were afforded adequate notice and an opportunity to be heard in a meaningful manner on the relief requested in the Motion. The Receiver electronically served all Interested Parties (as defined in the Motion), included in the service communication the time limits for filing objections to motions under the Court's local rules, and posted a copy of the Original Motion and the Motion on the Receivership's website.

[^2]The procedure for objections to motions under this Court's local rules were available to interested parties as a means to object and be heard.

NOW THEREFORE, THE COURT DOES HEREBY ORDER THAT

## 1. MOTION.

The Receiver's Motion is granted in its entirety.

## 2. ALLOWANCE OF CLAIMS.

The Receiver's recommendations on claim allowance and disallowance and the claim amounts as set forth in the Schedule of Allowed Claims and Schedule of Disallowed Claims, attached hereto as Exhibit A-1 and Exhibit A-2, are approved. All claims listed on the Schedule of Allowed Claims shall be referred to herein as "Allowed Claims." Holders of such Allowed Claims shall be referred to as Allowed Claimants.

## 3. APPROVAL OF THE RECEIVER'S DISTRIBUTION PLAN.

The Receiver's Distribution Plan, as set forth in the memorandum in support of the Motion, the Declaration of Timothy O'Shaughnessy, the Supplemental Declaration of Timothy O'Shaughnessy, and the schedules attached to the Supplemental Declaration (which schedules are attached hereto as Exhibit B-1, Exhibit B-2, Exhibit B-3, and Exhibit B-4), is approved. In particular, but without limiting the proposals set forth in the Distribution Plan:

## A. Allocation of Assets.

The Receiver's methodology for the allocation of assets of the Receivership Estate between the Receivership Entities is approved. Where an asset or recovery can be linked to a harm particular to a single Receivership Entity or an investment or portfolio interest held by one or more, but not all, Receivership Entities, the Receiver shall allocate that asset or recovery to the particular Receivership Entity(ies) involved. Where an asset or recovery cannot be linked to a
harm particular to a single Receivership Entity or an investment or portfolio interest held by one or more, but not all, Receivership Entities, but instead resulted from a jointly-held asset or a recovery sought for the benefit of the entire Estate ("Shared Assets"), the Receiver shall allocate that asset or recovery between the Receivership Entities in proportion to the size of the initial cash investment in each of the Receivership Entities.

Pursuant to this methodology, the Receiver shall allocate the Shared Assets between the Receivership Entities as follows: 24.77 percent to Acartha Group, LLC; 41.54 percent to MIC VII, LLC; 31.45 percent to Acartha Technology Partners, L.P.; and 2.24 percent to Gryphon Investments III, LLC. The Receiver is authorized to take all actions necessary for effectuation of the allocations approved herein.

## B. Allocation of Receivership Expenses.

The Receiver's methodology for the allocation of expenses of the Receivership Estate between the Receivership Entities is approved. The Receiver shall allocate all Receivership expenses between the four Receivership Entities in proportion to the size of the initial cash investment in each of the Receivership Entities.

Pursuant to this methodology, the Receiver shall allocate the Receivership expenses between the Receivership Entities as follows: 24.77 percent to Acartha Group, LLC; 41.54 percent to MIC VII, LLC; 31.45 percent to Acartha Technology Partners, L.P.; and 2.24 percent to Gryphon Investments III, LLC. The Receiver is authorized to take all actions necessary for effectuation of the allocations approved herein.

## C. Claim Classification and Priority.

The Receiver's proposal for the classification and priority treatment of Allowed Claims is approved. The Allowed Claims shall be divided into four main classes: (1) Cash Investors
(Classes 1-A, 1-B, 1-C, and 1-D), (2) Exchange-Loss Investors (Class 2-A), (3) Unsecured Creditors (Classes 3-A and 3-B), and (4) Professional and Employee Claims (Classes 4-A, 4-B, 4-C, and 4-D). The Receiver's proposed classification of individual claims, as set forth in Exhibit A-1 and Exhibit A-2, is approved as set forth in Paragraph 2 above. The Classes shall be prioritized in descending order. Allowed Claimants in Classes 1-A through 1-D (Cash Investors) shall receive the highest priority to Receivership assets. The remaining classes (Class 2, Class 3, and Class 4) will follow in second, third, and fourth priority, respectively.

The foregoing classification and priority treatment of Allowed Claims is fair and equitable under the circumstances of this case. Allowed Claimants are grouped with other similarly situated Allowed Claimants into one of four categories determined by the Allowed Claimant's (1) status as an investor, trade creditor, or former employee or professional and (2) for investors, the method of contribution (cash or exchange). Allowed Claimants within each of the Class categories will receive the same treatment. Further, the Receiver's differing treatment of the cash investors and the exchange-loss investors in Acartha Group, LLC is reasonable and equitable based upon the manner in which the two groups of investors participated in Acartha Group, LLC. The Receiver's prioritization of the claims of the cash investors is also fair and equitable because the Receiver was appointed in connection with the SEC's civil enforcement action against the Receivership Defendants. The SEC's allegations in its enforcement action against Burton Douglas Morriss resulted in the entry of a Judgment of Permanent Injunction and Other Relief as to Morriss on August 13, 2013 (ECF No. 275), which precluded Morriss from arguing that he did not violate the federal securities laws as alleged in the SEC Complaint in connection with an SEC motion for disgorgement and/or civil penalty and determined, for purposes of such a motion, that the allegations in the SEC Complaint shall be accepted as and
deemed true by the Court. On February 26, 2014, the Court entered its Final Judgment as to Morriss (ECF No. 314), in which the Court ordered that Morriss disgorge $\$ 9.1$ million, representing profits gained as a result of the conduct alleged in the SEC Complaint, along with prejudgment interest of $\$ 416,090.71$.

As alleged by the SEC, Morriss's fraudulent conduct was directed toward the investors. Investors were not informed that Morriss would be taking invested monies and using them for personal purposes. Also, as alleged by the SEC, Morriss circumvented the requirements of the MIC VII operating documents to allow new investors into MIC VII, then effectively used the new investor funds to satisfy a personal loan. As such, affording cash investors the highest priority ensures that those investors benefit the most from the assets recovered by the Receiver.

## D. Distribution Methodology.

The Receiver's proposed methodology for distributing the assets of the Receivership is approved. The Receiver shall distribute the assets of the Receivership Estate to Allowed Claimants using the rising tide pro rata method of distribution. In accordance with the calculations performed by the Receivership's accountant, the Receiver shall distribute the available assets to Allowed Claimants in Classes 1-A through 1-D on an increasing basis, devoting available assets to those Allowed Claimants who lost the greatest percentage of their investment until they reach parity with other Allowed Claimants who lost a smaller percentage of their investment. See Exhibits B-1, B-2, B-3, and B-4. Furthermore, in calculating the distributions to Allowed Claimants, the Receiver shall rely on the pre-Receivership investment and distribution amounts provided to the Receiver through the claims filing and bar date process, except where it is necessary for the Receiver to resolve discrepancies in pre-Receivership investment or distribution amounts by relying on Receivership records. The initial investment
and pre-Receivership distribution amounts for each Allowed Claim are set forth in Exhibits B-1, B-2, B-3, and B-4. Because the assets of the Receivership Estate are insufficient to fully satisfy Allowed Claims in Class 1, the Receiver need not determine rising tide pro rata participation percentages for Allowed Claimants in Classes 2 through 4.

Use of the foregoing methodology (rising tide pro rata) is fair, equitable, and reasonable under the circumstances of this case. First, distributing assets pro rata is a fair and equitable method of distribution where, as here, the assets to be distributed are insufficient to fully satisfy the outstanding claims against the estate. Second, as between the various methods of pro rata distribution, the rising tide method is most equitable for this case. Distribution using the rising tide methodology will most equitably distribute the available assets to those Class 1 Allowed Claimants who benefited the least from pre-Receivership distributions and will equalize, to the greatest extent possible, the total recoveries (pre- and post-Receivership) of each Allowed Claimant on an entity-by-entity basis. By using rising tide, the Receiver is able to reduce the amount of variation in each Class 1 Allowed Claimant's total percentage recovery-thereby equalizing the recoveries of all Allowed Claimants in Class 1 to the greatest extent possible.

## E. Distribution(s) of Liquid Assets.

The Receiver is authorized to make one or more distributions of Receivership assets to Allowed Claimants in Class 1 in accordance with the claim classification, priority, and distribution methodology approved herein. The Receiver shall make a first interim distribution to Allowed Claimants in Class 1 of approximately 80 percent of the Receivership assets as expeditiously as possible. See Exhibits B-1, B-2, B-3, and B-4. Future distributions shall be made in accordance with the claim classification, priority, and distribution methodology
approved herein. The Receiver is authorized to take any and all actions necessary to effectuate the first interim distribution and all subsequent distributions to Allowed Claimants.

## 4. PAYMENT OF PORTION OF HOLDBACK EXPENSES.

The Receiver's request for allowance and authorization to pay 80 percent of the legal and professional fee holdback incurred and remaining unpaid as of December 31, 2016 is approved. The following fees are allowed and the Receiver is authorized to make the following payments out of the assets of the Receivership estate:

| Thompson Coburn LLP | $\$ 221,902.62$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Segue Equity Group, LLC | $\$ 11,827.43$ |  |
| CliftonLarsonAllen LLP | $\$ 20,270.46$ |  |
| Pepper Hamilton LLP | $\$ 453.14$ |  |
| FTL Capital | $\$ 2,387.20$ |  |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 6 , 8 4 0 . 8 5}$ |

## 5. AUTHORIZATION TO TAKE STEPS NECESSARY FOR RECEIVERSHIP WIND-UP.

In addition to the authorities described above, the Court further authorizes the Receiver to take all necessary steps to achieve a winding up of the Receivership's assets and estate. These actions may include, but are not limited to, taking such actions to effectuate future distribution(s) of Receivership assets to Allowed Claimants in accordance with claim classification, priority, and distribution methodology approved herein, resolving the intercompany entries between Receivership Entities through debt cancellation during calendar year 2017, reporting a "theft loss" allocated to Allowed Claimants in Class 1 and 2 as described in the Receiver's memorandum, and distributing and assigning any unliquidated assets of the Receivership Entities to the Allowed Claimants in those Entities in proportion to the respective interests held by such Allowed Claimants prior to or as part of the wind up of this proceeding.

SO ORDERED this $\qquad$ day of 2017.

THE HONORABLE CAROL E. JACKSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

## Schedule of Allowed Claims

| $\frac{\text { Claim }}{\text { No. }}$ | Receiver's <br> Recommended Determination | $\frac{\text { Receivership }}{\text { Entity }}$ | Claim Amount ${ }^{2}$ | $\frac{\text { Proposed }}{\text { Class }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Allow | Acartha | Exchange | 2-A |
| 5 | Allow | Acartha | Exchange | 2-A |
| 6 | Allow | Acartha | Exchange | 2-A |
| 7 | Allow | Acartha | Exchange | 2-A |
| 8 | Allow | MIC VII | \$100,000 | 1-C |
| 9 | Allow | MIC VII | \$250,000 | 1-C |
| 11 | Allow | Gryphon | \$500,000 | 1-D |
| 13 | Allow | Acartha | \$2,000,000 | 1-A |
| 14 | Allow | Acartha | \$1,500,000 | 1-A |
| 15 | Allow | MIC VII | \$20,000 | 1-C |
| 16 | Allow | Acartha | \$119,551 | 4-A |
| 16 | Allow | ATP | \$38,667 | 4-B |
| 16 | Allow | MIC VII | \$58,622 | 4-C |
| 16 | Allow | Gryphon | \$3,220 | 4-D |
| 18 | Allow in part | Acartha | \$2,500,000 | 1-A |
| 18 | Allow in part | Acartha | Exchange | 2-A |
| 22 | Allow | Acartha | \$1,500,000 | 1-A |
| 22 | Allow | MIC VII | \$2,020,898.74 | 1-C |
| 23 | Allow | Gryphon | \$250,000 | 1-D |
| 24 | Allow | MIC VII | \$632,911.39 | 1-C |
| 26 | Allow | Acartha | \$200,000 | 1-A |
| 27 | Allow | MIC VII | \$550,000 | 1-C |
| 28 | Allow | Acartha | \$1,500,000 | 1-A |
| 29 | Allow | Acartha | \$1,250,000 | 1-A |
| 29 | Allow | Acartha | Exchange | 2-A |
| 30 | Allow | Acartha | \$1,250,000 | 1-A |
| 30 | Allow | Acartha | Exchange | 2-A |
| 31 | Allow | MIC VII | \$3,259,576.96 | 1-C |
| 32 | Allow | MIC VII | \$3,259,576.96 | 1-C |
| 33 | Allow | Acartha | \$500,000 | 1-A |
| 34 | Allow | Acartha | \$14,000 | 3-A |
| 35 | Allow | MIC VII | \$1,300,000 | 1-C |
| 36 | Allow | MIC VII | \$30,000 | 1-C |
| 37 | Allow | MIC VII | \$154,676.05 | 1-C |
| 38 | Allow | MIC VII | \$700,000 | 1-C |

${ }^{2}$ Claim Amount is either (a) the investor's asserted and/or verified cash contribution amount to the applicable Receivership Entity, not deducting any pre-Receivership distributions; or (b) the creditor's asserted claim amount.

| $\frac{\text { Claim }}{\text { No. }}$ | Receiver's $\frac{\text { Recommended }}{\text { Determination }}$ | $\frac{\text { Receivership }}{\text { Entity }}$ | Claim Amount ${ }^{2}$ | $\frac{\text { Proposed }}{\text { Class }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | Allow | MIC VII | \$100,000 | 1-C |
| 40 | Allow | MIC VII | \$20,000 | 1-C |
| 41 | Allow | ATP | \$9,650,000 | 1-B |
| 42 | Allow | MIC VII | \$649,336.24 | 1-C |
| 44 | Allow | MIC VII | \$975,821.19 | 1-C |
| 45 | Allow | MIC VII | \$1,044,303.80 | 1-C |
| 46 | Allow | MIC VII | \$537,974.68 | 1-C |
| 47 | Allow | MIC VII | \$2,140,805 | 1-C |
| 48 | Allow in part | Acartha | \$2,500,000 | 1-A |
| 48 | Allow in part | Acartha | Exchange | 2-A |
| 48 | Allow in part | MIC VII | \$3,496,597 | 1-C |
| 49 | Allow | Acartha | \$500,000 | 1-A |
| 49 | Allow | MIC VII | \$600,000 | 1-C |
| 50 | Allow | MIC VII | \$25,000 | 1-C |
| 52 | Allow | Acartha | \$300,000 | 1-A |
| 53 | Allow | MIC VII | \$550,000 | 1-C |
| 54 | Allow | MIC VII | \$400,000 | 1-C |
| 60 | Allow | ATP | \$100,000 | 3-B |
| 62 | Allow in part | Gryphon | \$450,000 | 1-D |
| 69 | Allow | MIC VII | \$50,000 | 1-C |
| 70 | Allow | MIC VII | \$1,250,000 | 1-C |
| 71 | Allow | MIC VII | \$250,000 | 1-C |
| 72 | Allow | MIC VII | \$50,000 | 1-C |
| 73 | Allow | MIC VII | \$40,000 | 1-C |
| 74 | Allow | MIC VII | \$70,000 | 1-C |
| 75 | Allow | MIC VII | \$250,000 | 1-C |
| 76 | Allow | MIC VII | \$33,000 | 1-C |
| 77 | Allow | MIC VII | \$33,000 | 1-C |
| 78 | Allow | MIC VII | \$34,000 | 1-C |
| 79 | Allow | MIC VII | \$100,000 | 1-C |
| 80 | Allow | MIC VII | \$75,000 | 1-C |
| 81 | Allow | MIC VII | \$25,000 | 1-C |
| 82 | Allow | MIC VII | \$25,000 | 1-C |
| 83 | Allow | MIC VII | \$50,000 | 1-C |
| 84 | Allow | MIC VII | \$100,000 | 1-C |
| 85 | Allow | MIC VII | \$65,000 | 1-C |
| 86 | Allow | ATP | \$100,000 | 1-B |
| 87 | Allow | ATP | \$50,000 | 1-B |
| 88 | Allow | ATP | \$25,000 | 1-B |
| 89 | Allow | ATP | \$50,000 | 1-B |
| 90 | Allow | ATP | \$50,000 | 1-B |
| 91 | Allow | ATP | \$50,000 | 1-B |
| 92 | Allow | ATP | \$50,000 | 1-B |
| 93 | Allow | ATP | \$300,000 | 1-B |
| 94 | Allow | ATP | \$300,000 | 1-B |
| 95 | Allow | ATP | \$25,000 | 1-B |


| $\frac{\text { Claim }}{\text { No. }}$ | Receiver's Recommended Determination | $\frac{\text { Receivership }}{\text { Entity }}$ | Claim Amount ${ }^{2}$ | $\frac{\text { Proposed }}{\text { Class }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 96 | Allow | ATP | \$50,000 | 1-B |
| 97 | Allow | ATP | \$129,000 | 1-B |
| 98 | Allow | ATP | \$80,000 | 1-B |
| 99 | Allow | ATP | \$300,000 | 1-B |
| 100 | Allow | ATP | \$27,500 | 1-B |
| 101 | Allow | ATP | \$165,000 | 1-B |
| 102 | Allow | ATP | \$243,500 | 1-B |
| 103 | Allow | ATP | \$32,500 | 1-B |
| 104 | Allow | ATP | \$55,000 | 1-B |
| 105 | Allow | ATP | \$130,000 | 1-B |
| 106 | Allow | ATP | \$341,000 | 1-B |
| 107 | Allow | ATP | \$100,000 | 1-B |
| 108 | Allow | ATP | \$25,000 | 1-B |
| 109 | Allow | ATP | \$25,000 | 1-B |
| 110 | Allow | ATP | \$75,000 | 1-B |
| 111 | Allow | ATP | \$27,500 | 1-B |
| 112 | Allow | ATP | \$32,500 | 1-B |
| 113 | Allow | ATP | \$115,000 | 1-B |
| 114 | Allow in part | MIC VII | \$267,527.49 | 1-C |
| 114 | Allow in part | Gryphon | \$200,000 | 1-D |
| 115 | Allow in part | MIC VII | \$410,381 | 1-C |
| 116 | Allow | ATP | \$27,500 | 1-B |
| 117 | Allow | ATP | \$115,000 | 1-B |
| 118 | Allow | ATP | \$65,000 | 1-B |
| 119 | Allow | ATP | \$125,000 | 1-B |
| 120 | Allow | ATP | \$155,000 | 1-B |
| 121 | Allow | ATP | \$155,000 | 1-B |
| 122 | Allow | ATP | \$135,000 | 1-B |
| 123 | Allow | ATP | \$32,500 | 1-B |
| 124 | Allow | ATP | \$275,000 | 1-B |
| 125 | Allow | ATP | \$465,000 | 1-B |
| 126 | Allow | ATP | \$110,000 | 1-B |
| 127 | Allow | ATP | \$110,000 | 1-B |
| 128 | Allow | ATP | \$220,000 | 1-B |
| 129 | Allow | ATP | \$150,000 | 1-B |
| 130 | Allow | ATP | \$125,000 | 1-B |
| 131 | Allow | ATP | \$125,000 | 1-B |
| 132 | Allow | ATP | \$125,000 | 1-B |
| 133 | Allow | ATP | \$220,000 | 1-B |
| 134 | Allow | ATP | \$275,000 | 1-B |
| 135 | Allow | ATP | \$99,000 | 1-B |
| 136 | Allow | ATP | \$60,000 | 1-B |
| 137 | Allow | ATP | \$112,500 | 1-B |
| 138 | Allow | ATP | \$770,000 | 1-B |
| 139 | Allow | ATP | \$275,000 | 1-B |
| 140 | Allow | ATP | \$750,000 | 1-B |


| $\frac{\text { Claim }}{\text { No. }}$ | $\frac{\text { Receiver's }}{\text { Recommended }}$ <br> Determination | $\frac{\text { Receivership }}{\underline{\text { Entity }}}$ | Claim Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 141 | Allow | ATP | $\$ 100,000$ | Class |
| 142 | Allow | ATP | $\$ 250,000$ | $1-\mathrm{B}$ |
| 143 | Allow | ATP | $\$ 1,650,000$ | $1-\mathrm{B}$ |
| 227 | Allow | Acartha | $\$ 74,594$ | $3-\mathrm{A}$ |

## Schedule of Disallowed Claims

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claim } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Receiver's Recommended Determination | Receivership Entity(ies) | Claim Amount ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Disallow | Acartha, ATP, MIC VII, Gryphon | \$28,946.18 |
| 2 | Disallow | Acartha | \$1,738.89 |
| 3 | Disallow | MIC VII | \$30,000 |
| 10 | Disallow | Gryphon | \$244,692.15 |
| 12 | Disallow | Acartha, ATP, MIC VII, Gryphon | \$100,000 |
| 17 | Disallow | Acartha | \$450,363.05 |
| 18 | Disallow in part | Acartha | \$10,959,707.25 |
| 19 | Disallow | Acartha | \$25,718.85 |
| 20 | Disallow | Acartha, ATP | \$352,532.15 |
| 21 | Disallow | Acartha, ATP, MIC VII | \$2,764,524.49 |
| 25 | Disallow | Acartha | $\begin{gathered} \text { Equity } 33.33 \%+ \\ \$ 10,845.25 \end{gathered}$ |
| 43 | Disallow | Acartha | \$432,391.24 |
| 48 | Disallow in part | Acartha | \$2,500,000 |
| 48 | Disallow in part | MIC VII | \$3,496,597 |
| 51 | Disallow | Gryphon | None given |
| 55 | Disallow | Acartha | \$10,000 |
| 56 | Disallow | ATP | \$10,000 |
| 57 | Disallow | MIC VII | \$42,500 |
| 58 | Disallow | Gryphon | \$10,000 |
| 59 | Disallow | Acartha | \$61,066.33 |
| 61 | Disallow | Acartha, ATP, MIC VII, Gryphon | \$1,301,756.93 |
| 62 | Disallow in part | Gryphon | \$427,882.24 |
| 63 | Disallow | Acartha | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20.833333\% plus } \\ \$ 6,778.96 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 64 | Disallow | Acartha | $\begin{gathered} \text { 8.3333333\% plus } \\ \$ 2,710.75 \end{gathered}$ |
| 65 | Disallow | Acartha | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 16.66666667\% plus } \\ \$ 5,423.17 \end{gathered}$ |
| 66 | Disallow | Acartha | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20.83333334\% } \\ & \text { plus } \$ 6,778.96 \end{aligned}$ |
| 67 | Disallow | Acartha | \$172,734.91 |
| 68 | Disallow | Acartha, MIC VII, Gryphon | \$1,053,333.33 plus <br> other equity |
| 114 | Disallow in part | MIC VII, Gryphon | \$862,453.64 |
| 115 | Disallow in part | MIC VII | \$62,650.34 |
| 144 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 1.61\% |
| 145 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 1.61\% |
| 146 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 3.23\% |

${ }^{3}$ Claim Amount is the total claim amount provided by the claimant on the proof of claim form filed with the Receiver, regardless of whether the claim was disallowed in full or in part.

| Claim No. | Receiver's Recommended Determination | Receivership Entity(ies) | Claim Amount ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 147 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 1.61\% |
| 148 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 1.61\% |
| 149 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 1.61\% |
| 150 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 1.61\% |
| 151 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 3.23\% |
| 152 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 4.03\% |
| 153 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 1.22\% |
| 154 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 0.81\% |
| 155 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 1.37\% |
| 156 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 8.88\% |
| 157 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 4.03\% |
| 158 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 8.07\% |
| 159 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 12.8\% |
| 160 | Disallow | Acartha | \$5,439 |
| 161 | Disallow | Acartha | \$5,439 |
| 162 | Disallow | Acartha | \$5,439 |
| 163 | Disallow | Acartha | \$10,878 |
| 164 | Disallow | Acartha | \$13,598 |
| 165 | Disallow | Acartha | \$4,079 |
| 166 | Disallow | Acartha | \$2,720 |
| 167 | Disallow | Acartha | \$4,623 |
| 168 | Disallow | Acartha | \$29,915 |
| 169 | Disallow | Acartha | \$13,598 |
| 170 | Disallow | Acartha | \$27,196 |
| 171 | Disallow | Acartha | \$5,439 |
| 172 | Disallow | Acartha | \$13,598 |
| 173 | Disallow | Acartha | \$81,587 |
| 174 | Disallow | Acartha | \$5,439 |
| 175 | Disallow | Acartha | \$1,360 |
| 176 | Disallow | Acartha | \$1,360 |
| 177 | Disallow | Acartha | \$5,439 |
| 178 | Disallow | Acartha | \$2,720 |
| 179 | Disallow | Acartha | \$1359.78 |
| 180 | Disallow | Acartha | \$2,720 |
| 181 | Disallow | Acartha | \$5,439 |
| 182 | Disallow | Acartha | \$5,439 |
| 183 | Disallow | Acartha | \$5,439 |
| 184 | Disallow | Acartha | \$1,360 |
| 185 | Disallow | Acartha | \$16,317 |
| 186 | Disallow | Acartha | \$5,439 |
| 187 | Disallow | Acartha | \$5,439 |
| 188 | Disallow | Acartha | \$10,878 |
| 189 | Disallow | Acartha | \$5,439 |
| 190 | Disallow | Acartha | \$1,874 |
| 191 | Disallow | Acartha | \$3,748 |
| 192 | Disallow | Acartha | \$11,243 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claim } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Receiver's Recommended Determination | Receivership Entity(ies) | Claim Amount ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 193 | Disallow | Acartha | \$937 |
| 194 | Disallow | Acartha | \$11,061 |
| 195 | Disallow | Acartha | \$5,622 |
| 196 | Disallow | Acartha | \$9,790 |
| 197 | Disallow | Acartha | \$1,359.78 |
| 198 | Disallow | Acartha | \$2,720 |
| 199 | Disallow | Acartha | \$5,439 |
| 200 | Disallow | Acartha | \$16,861 |
| 201 | Disallow | Acartha | \$5,439 |
| 202 | Disallow | Acartha | \$4,079 |
| 203 | Disallow | Acartha | \$1,360 |
| 204 | Disallow | Acartha | \$1,360 |
| 205 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 0.28\% |
| 206 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 2.42\% |
| 207 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 2.9\% |
| 208 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 0.4\% |
| 209 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 1.61\% |
| 210 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 5\% |
| 211 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 0.4\% |
| 212 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 0.4\% |
| 213 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 0.4\% |
| 214 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 0.81\% |
| 215 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 0.81\% |
| 216 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 1.61\% |
| 217 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 1.615\% |
| 218 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 1.615\% |
| 219 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 1.42\% |
| 220 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 0.4\% |
| 221 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity $2.47 \%$ |
| 222 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 3.41\% |
| 223 | Disallow | Acartha | \$24,960.92 |
| 224 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity 4.94957\% |
| 225 | Disallow | Acartha | Equity $8.1357527 \%$ |
| 226 | Disallow | Acartha | \$350,000 |

## Acartha Group, LLC (Class 1-A)

|  |  | Rising Tide Pro <br> Rata |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Claim No. | Initial Investment | Pre-Receivership <br> Distributions | Distribution <br> Amount | Total <br> Return \% |
| 13 | $2,000,000.00$ | - | $16,380.86$ | $0.82 \%$ |
| 14 | $1,500,000.00$ | - | $12,285.65$ | $0.82 \%$ |
| 18 | $2,500,000.00$ | - | $20,476.08$ | $0.82 \%$ |
| 22 | $1,500,000.00$ | - | $12,285.65$ | $0.82 \%$ |
| 26 | $200,000.00$ | - | $1,638.09$ | $0.82 \%$ |
| 28 | $1,500,000.00$ | - | $12,285.65$ | $0.82 \%$ |
| 29 | $1,250,000.00$ | - | $10,238.04$ | $0.82 \%$ |
| 30 | $1,250,000.00$ | - | $10,238.04$ | $0.82 \%$ |
| 33 | $500,000.00$ | - | $4,095.22$ | $0.82 \%$ |
| 48 | $2,500,000.00$ | - | $20,476.08$ | $0.82 \%$ |
| 49 | $500,000.00$ | - | $4,095.22$ | $0.82 \%$ |
| 52 | $300,000.00$ | $2,457.13$ | $0.82 \%$ |  |
| TOTALS | $15,500,000.00$ |  | $126,951.69$ |  |

## Acartha Technology Partners, L.P. (Class 1-B)

| Claim No. | Initial Investment | Pre-Receivership Distributions | Rising Tide Pro Rata Distribution Amount | Total Return \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | 9,650,000.00 | 4,414,146.00 | 2,758,536.89 | 74.33\% |
| 86 | 100,000.00 | - | 74,328.32 | 74.33\% |
| 87 | 50,000.00 | - | 37,164.16 | 74.33\% |
| 88 | 25,000.00 | - | 18,582.08 | 74.33\% |
| 89 | 50,000.00 | - | 37,164.16 | 74.33\% |
| 90 | 50,000.00 | - | 37,164.16 | 74.33\% |
| 91 | 50,000.00 | - | 37,164.16 | 74.33\% |
| 92 | 50,000.00 | - | 37,164.16 | 74.33\% |
| 93 | 300,000.00 | - | 222,984.96 | 74.33\% |
| 94 | 300,000.00 | - | 222,984.96 | 74.33\% |
| 95 | 25,000.00 | - | 18,582.08 | 74.33\% |
| 96 | 50,000.00 | - | 37,164.16 | 74.33\% |
| 97 | 129,000.00 | 49,490.23 | 46,393.30 | 74.33\% |
| 98 | 80,000.00 | 24,745.12 | 34,717.54 | 74.33\% |
| 99 | 300,000.00 | 148,470.70 | 74,514.26 | 74.33\% |
| 100 | 27,500.00 | 12,372.57 | 8,067.72 | 74.33\% |
| 101 | 165,000.00 | 74,235.35 | 48,406.38 | 74.33\% |
| 102 | 243,500.00 | 220,756.23 | - | 90.66\% |
| 103 | 32,500.00 | 30,660.59 | - | 94.34\% |
| 104 | 55,000.00 | 61,321.18 | - | 111.49\% |
| 105 | 130,000.00 | 122,642.34 | - | 94.34\% |
| 106 | 341,000.00 | 380,191.27 | - | 111.49\% |
| 107 | 100,000.00 | 122,642.34 | - | 122.64\% |
| 108 | 25,000.00 | 30,660.59 | - | 122.64\% |
| 109 | 25,000.00 | 30,660.59 | - | 122.64\% |
| 110 | 75,000.00 | 92,281.76 | - | 123.04\% |
| 111 | 27,500.00 | 30,660.59 | - | 111.49\% |
| 112 | 32,500.00 | 30,660.59 | - | 94.34\% |


| Claim No. | Initial Investment | Pre-Receivership Distributions | Rising Tide Pro Rata Distribution Amount | Total Return \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 113 | 115,000.00 | 122,642.34 | - | 106.65\% |
| 116 | 27,500.00 | 30,660.59 | - | 111.49\% |
| 117 | 115,000.00 | 61,321.54 | 24,156.03 | 74.33\% |
| 118 | 65,000.00 | 28,530.74 | 19,782.67 | 74.33\% |
| 119 | 125,000.00 | 122,642.34 | - | 98.11\% |
| 120 | 155,000.00 | 122,642.86 | - | 79.12\% |
| 121 | 155,000.00 | 122,642.86 | - | 79.12\% |
| 122 | 135,000.00 | 122,642.35 | - | 90.85\% |
| 123 | 32,500.00 | 30,660.59 | - | 94.34\% |
| 124 | 275,000.00 | 197,147.70 | 7,255.18 | 74.33\% |
| 125 | 465,000.00 | 367,927.06 | - | 79.12\% |
| 126 | 110,000.00 | 122,642.34 | - | 111.49\% |
| 127 | 110,000.00 | 122,642.34 | - | 111.49\% |
| 128 | 220,000.00 | 245,284.69 | - | 111.49\% |
| 129 | 150,000.00 | 122,642.34 | - | 81.76\% |
| 130 | 125,000.00 | 122,642.34 |  | 98.11\% |
| 131 | 125,000.00 | 122,642.34 | - | 98.11\% |
| 132 | 125,000.00 | 23,236.70 | 69,673.70 | 74.33\% |
| 133 | 220,000.00 | 245,284.69 | - | 111.49\% |
| 134 | 275,000.00 | 306,605.86 | - | 111.49\% |
| 135 | 99,000.00 | 91,981.75 | - | 92.91\% |
| 136 | 60,000.00 | 61,321.18 | - | 102.20\% |
| 137 | 112,500.00 | 104,245.99 | - | 92.66\% |
| 138 | 770,000.00 | 674,532.90 | - | 87.60\% |
| 139 | 275,000.00 | 306,605.86 | - | 111.49\% |
| 140 | 750,000.00 | 613,211.72 | - | 81.76\% |
| 141 | 100,000.00 | 122,642.34 | - | 122.64\% |
| 142 | 250,000.00 | 306,605.86 | - | 122.64\% |
| 143 | 1,650,000.00 | 1,839,617.19 | - | 111.49\% |
| TOTALS | 19,680,000.00 | 12,760,447.44 | 3,871,951.02 |  |

## MIC VIII, LLC (Class 1-C)

| Claim No. | Initial Investment | Pre- <br> Receivership Distributions | Rising Tide Pro <br> Rata Distribution Amount | Total Return \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 100,000.00 | 19,096.00 | - | 19.10\% |
| 9 | 250,000.00 | 69,910.48 | - | 27.96\% |
| 15 | 20,000.00 | 5,388.63 | - | 26.94\% |
| 22 | 2,020,898.74 | 349,343.82 | - | 17.29\% |
| 24 | 632,911.39 | 109,776.88 | - | 17.34\% |
| 27 | 550,000.00 | 156,244.06 | - | 28.41\% |
| 31 | 3,259,576.96 | 802,641.00 | - | 24.62\% |
| 32 | 3,259,576.96 | 802,641.00 | - | 24.62\% |
| 35 | 1,300,000.00 | 367,388.01 | - | 28.26\% |
| 36 | 30,000.00 | 6,907.13 | - | 23.02\% |
| 37 | 154,676.05 | 41,946.17 | - | 27.12\% |
| 38 | 700,000.00 | 197,815.09 | - | 28.26\% |
| 39 | 100,000.00 | 13,695.00 | 660.54 | 14.36\% |
| 40 | 20,000.00 | 5,668.36 | - | 28.34\% |
| 42 | 649,336.24 | 109,778.73 | - | 16.91\% |
| 44 | 975,821.19 | 201,938.44 | - | 20.69\% |
| 45 | 1,044,303.80 | 170,778.66 | - | 16.35\% |
| 46 | 537,974.68 | 50,826.46 | 26,402.69 | 14.36\% |
| 47 | 2,140,805.00 | 400,058.00 | - | 18.69\% |
| 48 | 3,496,597.00 | 257,827.87 | 244,127.36 | 14.36\% |
| 49 | 600,000.00 | 170,197.21 | - | 28.37\% |
| 50 | 25,000.00 | 7,098.73 | - | 28.39\% |
| 53 | 550,000.00 | 157,388.42 | - | 28.62\% |
| 54 | 400,000.00 | 86,354.97 | - | 21.59\% |
| 114 | 267,527.49 | 50,787.56 | - | 18.98\% |
| 115 | 410,381.00 | 41,549.42 | 17,362.97 | 14.36\% |
| N/A | 2,500,000.00 | - | 358,888.39 | 14.36\% |
| TOTALS | 25,995,386.50 | 4,653,046.10 | 647,441.95 |  |


|  |  | Rising Tide Pro <br> Rata |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Claim No. | Initial Investment | Pre- <br> Receivership <br> Distributions | $c$ <br> Distribution <br> Amount | $c$ <br> Total <br> Return \% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 | $50,000.00$ | - | $7,177.77$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 70 | $1,250,000.00$ | - | $179,444.20$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 71 | $250,000.00$ | - | $35,888.84$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 72 | $50,000.00$ | - | $7,177.77$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 73 | $40,000.00$ | - | $5,742.21$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 74 | $70,000.00$ | - | $10,048.88$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 75 | $250,000.00$ | - | $35,888.84$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 76 | $33,000.00$ | - | $4,737.33$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 77 | $33,000.00$ | - | $4,737.33$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 78 | $34,000.00$ | - | $4,880.88$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 79 | $100,000.00$ | - | $14,355.54$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 80 | $75,000.00$ | - | $10,766.65$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 81 | $25,000.00$ | - | $3,588.88$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 82 | $25,000.00$ | - | $3,588.88$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 83 | $50,000.00$ | - | $7,177.77$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 84 | $100,000.00$ | - | $14,355.54$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| 85 | $65,000.00$ | - | $9,331.10$ | $14.36 \%$ |
| SUB-TOTALS | $2,500,000.00$ | - | $358,888.39$ |  |

## Gryphon Investments III, LLC (Class 1-D)

|  |  | Rising Tide Pro <br> Pre- <br> Rata |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Claim No. | Initial Investment | Distributions <br> Distribution <br> Amount | Total <br> Return \% |  |
| 11 | $500,000.00$ | $10,615.70$ | $33,808.32$ | $8.88 \%$ |
| 23 | $250,000.00$ | $5,307.85$ | $16,904.16$ | $8.88 \%$ |
| 62 | $450,000.00$ | $9,554.00$ | $30,427.62$ | $8.88 \%$ |
| 114 | $200,000.00$ | $5,722.28$ | $12,047.33$ | $8.88 \%$ |
| TOTALS | $1,400,000.00$ | $31,199.83$ | $93,187.43$ |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Teylouni filed Claim No. 20 against Acartha Group and ATP seeking $\$ 352,532.15$ in deferred salary, plus interest thereon, for the period August 1, 2008 to April 15, 2010.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ On April 20, 2017, the Receiver filed her Motion to Approve Plan of Distribution, Approve Schedule of Claims, Authorize Distributions of Receivership Assets, and Approve Partial Payment of Holdback Amount Pertaining to Legal and Professional Services Rendered by the Receiver, Retained Counsel, and Other Professionals and memorandum in support thereof (ECF Nos. 515, 516) (collectively, the "Original Motion"). On May 9, 2017, the

[^2]:    Receiver filed her Motion to Amend/Correct Receiver's Motion to Approve Distribution Plan, along with the Amended Motion to Approve Plan of Distribution, Approve Schedule of Claims, Authorize First Interim Distribution of Receivership Assets, and Approve Partial Payment of Holdback Amount Pertaining to Legal and Professional Services Rendered by the Receiver, Retained Counsel, and Other Professionals and updated exhibits to account for minor adjustments to certain of the numbers reported in the Motion (ECF No. 525). On May 10, 2017, the Court granted the Receiver leave to file the amended motion and mooted the Original Motion (ECF No. 526). The clerk entered the Receiver's amended motion on the docket on May 10, 2017 (ECF No. 527). On May 26, 2017, the holders of Claim Nos. 93 and 124 filed a Consent Motion seeking the Court's approval to assert a late objection to the reporting of their initial contribution amounts in the Motion (ECF No. 530). The Court granted the Consent Motion on May 30, 2017 (ECF No. 532). The Receiver's supplemental filing (ECF No. 533) addressed the matters raised in the Consent Motion.

