

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 4:12-CV-00080-CEJ
)	
BURTON DOUGLAS MORRISS, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants, and)	
)	
MORRISS HOLDINGS, LLC,)	
)	
Relief Defendant.)	
)	

**RECEIVER'S SECOND INTERIM APPLICATION FOR ALLOWANCE
AND PAYMENT OF FEES AND EXPENSES INCURRED BY THE
RECEIVER, RETAINED COUNSEL AND OTHER PROFESSIONALS**

Receiver Claire M. Schenk, in her capacity as receiver (“Receiver”) for Acartha Group, LLC (“Acartha”), MIC VII, LLC (“MIC”), Acartha Technology Partners, LP (“ATP”) and Gryphon Investments III, LLC (“Gryphon”) (collectively, the “Receivership Entities”), files her *Second Application for Allowance and Payment of Expenses Incurred by the Receiver, Counsel and Other Professionals* (the “Application”), and requests that this Court enter an Order¹ authorizing the Receiver to make payments for certain professional services and expenses incurred during the period of April 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 (the “Application Period”). The Receiver submitted the Application to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC” or “Commission”) for review prior to submission to the Court. A Standardized Fund Accounting Report (“SFAR”), which summarizes receipts and disbursements

¹ A proposed order is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

for this quarter, is attached hereto as Exhibit A.²

The Receiver respectfully requests that the Court authorize the Receiver to pay reasonable fees and costs incurred by the following professionals: (a) Thompson Coburn LLP, Receiver's primary counsel; (b) Segue Equity Group, LLC, the Receiver's investment fund manager; and (c) FTL Capital LLC, the Receiver's business advisor. For purposes of this Application, the Receiver has agreed, at the request of the SEC and pursuant to discussions with the SEC, to request payment of only eighty percent (80%) of the legal and professional fees accrued through June 30, 2012, as opposed to the full amount of the invoiced fees.³

I. RETENTION OF RECEIVER, DISCLOSURE OF COMPENSATION AND ACTIVITIES OF RECEIVER DURING APPLICATION PERIOD

On January 17, 2012, the SEC filed its Complaint and Ex Parte Emergency Motion for Appointment of Receiver. On that same day, the Court granted the SEC's motion and entered the Order Appointing Receiver ("Receivership Order") (Dkt. No. 16). The Receiver's authority, duties and obligations are set forth in the Receivership Order. The SEC's motion and the Court's appointment were based upon the Receiver's proposal to the SEC (the "Proposal"). The Proposal set out the qualifications of the Receiver and the support to be received from Thompson Coburn LLP as primary counsel to the Receiver. Additionally, the Proposal fully disclosed the proposed compensation schedule and course of action contemplated by the Receiver (Dkt. No. 4, Exhibit 1).

The overall function of the Receiver as set out in the Receivership Order is to administer and manage the business affairs and assets of the Receivership Entities, act as the managing

² A SFAR will be submitted in Final at the conclusion of the Receivership, following a reconciliation of receipts and disbursements occurring over the course of the Receivership.

³ The remaining twenty percent (20%) would be payable upon further application.

member or partner of the Receivership Entities, marshal and safeguard all of the assets of the Receivership Entities and take such actions as are necessary to protect investors. In furtherance of these objectives, the Receivership Order empowers the Receiver, under paragraph 4 of the Receivership Order, to “employ legal counsel, actuaries, accountants, clerks, consultants and assistants as the Receiver deems necessary and to fix and pay their reasonable compensation and reasonable expenses.” Moreover, in addition to the authority granted in paragraph 4 of the Receivership Order, paragraph 5 provides that the Receiver may “[e]ngage persons in the Receiver’s discretion to assist the Receiver in carrying out the Receiver’s duties and responsibilities, including, but not limited to ... a private security firm.” *See* Receivership Order, Dkt. No. 16, at p. 3.

Pursuant to these authorities, and in keeping with paragraph 26 of the Receivership Order, the Receiver now files her Fee Application for the second quarter of this year, along with the requisite supporting documentation. Submission of this Fee Application is additionally supported by the information stated in the Receiver’s Second Interim Status Report (filed April 20, 2012), which lists information pertinent to the Service Professionals providing support to the Receiver (Dkt. No. 134).

The activities of the Receiver are guided by the Receivership Order which required the Receiver to, among other things:

- take immediate possession of and administer the assets of the Receivership Entities;
- investigate the manner in which the affairs of the Receivership Entities were conducted;
- institute such actions and legal proceedings, for the benefit and on behalf of the Receivership Entities and their investors and other creditors as the Receiver deems necessary;
- defend, compromise or settle legal actions in which the Receivership Entities or the Receiver is a party;

- assume control of all of the Receivership Entities' financial accounts, as necessary; and
- make payments and disbursements from the funds and assets taken into control as necessary in discharging the Receiver's duties.

Thus, as outlined in the Receiver's Reports, and with the assistance of the personnel described in those Reports, the Receiver has: (i) continued to manage the operation of the business affairs of the Receivership entities, *e.g.*, facilitated communications between the Receivership Entities' investment concerns and the investors in order to allow follow on investments as appropriate after reviewing, drafting, revising and negotiating the key terms of the various financings; (ii) continued her attempts to acquire custody of Receivership property held by former employees, service professionals and others; (iii) continued to arrange for the orderly transfer of electronic data and documents to the offices of the Receiver while pursuing the production of additional information from various sources; (iv) continued to review and organize the electronic and hard copy Receivership documents; (v) amassed and organized information for the return of funds to certain investors, following receipt of Court approval; (vi) solicited proposals for accounting services of tax preparer and following review and discussion of the proposals, retained CliftonLarsonAllen ("CLA"); (vii) begun doing due diligence and other work prefatory to filing of returns for the Receivership and other entities as appropriate; (viii) monitored the bankruptcy proceeding involving Burton Douglas Morriss and coordinated with the trustee, as appropriate; (ix) filed a separate proceeding against Morriss Holdings, continued to review and analyze other potential receivership claims, and investigated the claims made in the matter captioned, *Ron Nixon, et al., v. B. Douglass Morriss, et al.*, 11SL-CC04718, filed in St. Louis County Circuit Court, November 29, 2011; and begun preliminary preparations for the submission of a claims bar date process for the review and approval of the Court.

Additionally, the Receiver is handling the day-to-day matters of the four Receivership Entities. This entails a wide variety of tasks ranging from simple to complex, *e.g.*, a review of daily mail; oversight of bank accounts; and participation in investor calls, board meetings, and capital calls. The Receiver continues to gather information so that she may respond to the Court with a summary of the value of the Receivership Entities' assets and liabilities and to tally and analyze claims received as required by the Receivership Order. Receivership Order, Dkt. No. 16, at p. 3.

II. REQUEST FOR FEES AND EXPENSES

The Receiver and her counsel, fund manager and advisors have worked diligently to marshal and preserve all assets of the Receivership Entities, investigate their business operations, and compile information that the Receivership Entities may use to prosecute the Receiver's claims in litigation and defend claims asserted against the Receivership Entities.

The Receiver respectfully requests an award for legal and professional fees and the reimbursement of certain expenses incurred on behalf of the Receiver for services rendered during the Application Period. These amounts total \$291,294.43 in the aggregate (the "Total Award"). The Total Award is comprised of: (a) \$246,908.08 in legal fees and costs for Thompson Coburn LLP, the Receiver's primary counsel; (b) \$31,791.35 in professional fees for Segue Equity Group, LLC, the Receiver's fund manager; (c) \$12,595 in professional fees for FTL Capital, LLC, the Receiver's business advisors.

Significantly, the Receiver and many of the professionals working with her performed services at deeply discounted rates. Moreover, the Receiver further reduced the costs to the Receivership estate by writing off various fees incurred for work performed. For example, counsel to the Receiver did not include charges for designing and managing internal document

management systems and related time or for a significant portion of the Receiver's start-up costs associated with the Receivership and related legal and factual research.

As noted above, the Receiver seeks Court permission to pay less than the Total Award at this time. Specifically, the Receiver seeks permission to pay (a) \$196,305.12 in legal fees and \$1,526.68 in costs for Thompson Coburn LLP; (b) \$25,433.08 in professional fees for Segue Equity Group, LLC; and (c) \$10,076.00 in professional fees for FTL Capital, LLC. Therefore, although the Total Award sought is \$291,294.43, the total requested payment at this time is \$231,814.20. The Receiver is asking for payment of the reduced amount at this time, at the request of and pursuant to discussions with the SEC.

This request is the Receiver's second application to the Court for compensation and reimbursement of expenses for services rendered on behalf of the Receiver.⁴ No understanding exists between the Receiver and any other person for the sharing of compensation sought by this Receiver, except among the partners and associates of the employees of the firms retained by the Receiver. To date, and since January 2012, all of the professional services firms and the Receiver herself have been working on behalf of the Receivership entities without compensation.

In support of the efforts performed on behalf of the Receiver, the Receiver has attached Exhibits to its Application consisting of:

Exhibit A: Certification

Exhibit B: Second Quarter SFAR

⁴ The Receiver's First Interim Application for Allowance and Payment of Fees was filed on May 4, 2012. That application covered the period from the inception of the Receivership on January 17, 2012 through April 30, 2012. The Court was subsequently notified that no objections were filed or received in response to the Receiver's Fee Application. On August 7, 2012, The Receiver filed a Supplemental Statement in support of the Receiver's First Interim Application stating that Segue Equity Group, LLC advised the Receiver that it will terminate its relationship with the Receiver if it does not receive payment. As described in the Supplemental Statement, the Receiver believes that this resignation will cause unnecessary delay in Receivership operations and increased costs to the estate and result in further harm to the investors and others seeking recoveries from the Receivership Estate.

Exhibit C: Summaries of professional and paraprofessional time and fees;

Exhibit D: Individualized and detailed invoices of all services rendered, expenses, and disbursements for Thompson Coburn LLP, Segue Equity Group, LLC and FTL Capital, LLC.

Exhibit C contains an aggregate summary of all hours and fees of all professionals and paraprofessionals that provided services to the Receiver during the Application Period. The total amount represents the amount of time expended by each attorney, paralegal, and professional multiplied by the applicable hourly rate. Exhibit D contains individualized and detailed descriptions of the daily services rendered and the hours expended by the various attorneys, paralegals, and professionals employed on behalf of the Receiver in this case during the Application Period. Exhibit D also contains a detailed schedule listing the expenses and disbursements for which the Receiver seeks reimbursement. Exhibit D is based on, among other information, the contemporaneous daily time records maintained by the Receiver's attorneys, paralegals, and professionals who rendered services in this case. The Receiver has reviewed and approved these time records, and based on the complexity of the case, the Receiver respectfully submits that the requested compensation is reasonable.⁵

III. MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST

Under governing law, following a determination that services were rendered and costs expended in furtherance of the Receivership, the Court may award compensation for the presented fees and costs. When determining an award of attorneys' fees, the Court should use the lodestar method of multiplying the number of hours reasonably expended by the reasonable hourly rate. *S.E.C. v. Petters*, No. 09-1750 ADM/JSM, 2009 WL 3379954, at *3 (D. Minn. Oct. 20, 2009) (citing *Fish v. St. Cloud State University*, 295 F.3d 849, 851 (8th Cir. 2002)). A

⁵ Certain redactions to these records have been made because of work product and privilege concerns and to protect the confidentiality of investors and investment concerns.

reasonable hourly rate is the ordinary fee for similar work in the community. *Petters*, 2009 WL 3379954, at *3 (quoting *Avalon Cinema Corp. v. Thompson*, 689 F.2d 137, 140 (8th Cir. 1982)); *see also Fish*, 295 F.3d at 851 (“A reasonable hourly rate is usually the ordinary rate for similar work in the community where the case has been litigated.”). The Receiver respectfully suggests that for the reasons stated herein and based upon the background information regarding rates and qualifications set forth in the Proposals and the Second Interim Status Report, this request for fees for payment of the Receiver’s attorneys and other professionals meets the criteria for this interim compensation.

In this case, the Court’s Receivership Order requires the Receiver to “administer such assets as is required in order to comply with the directions contained in this Order, and to hold all other assets pending further order of this Court.” Receivership Order, Dkt. No. 16, at p. 2. The Receivership Order allows the Receiver to: (i) appoint “one or more special agents, employ legal counsel, actuaries, accountants, clerks, consultants and assistants as the Receiver deems necessary and to fix and pay their reasonable compensation and reasonable expenses, as well as all reasonable expenses of taking possession of the assets and business...,” Receivership Order, Dkt. No. 16, at p. 3; and (ii) engage persons in the Receiver’s discretion to assist the Receiver in carrying out the Receiver’s duties and responsibilities,” *id.* The Court further authorized payment of the Receiver’s counsel from the funds held by the Receivership, *see* Receivership Order, Dkt. No. 16, at p. 6, and empowered the Receiver to “make or authorize such payments and disbursements from the funds taken into control, or thereafter received by the Receiver ... as may be reasonable, necessary, and advisable in discharging the Receiver’s duties,” *id.* at p. 4.

The Receiver’s attorneys, paralegals, accountants and experts have incurred reasonable fees and costs consistent with the Court’s orders, and payment is appropriate and warranted in

consideration under applicable Eighth Circuit case law.

WHEREFORE, the Receiver respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order authorizing (i) an award of fees and costs in the amount of \$291,294.43 and (ii) the Receiver to make payments as follows based upon the authority submitted by the Receiver in support of this Application: (a) \$196,305.12 in legal fees (representing eighty percent (80%) of invoiced fees) and \$1526.68 in costs for Thompson Coburn LLP; (b) \$25,433.08 in professional fees (representing eighty percent (80%) of invoiced fees) for Segue Equity Group, LLC; and (c) \$10,076.00 in professional fees (representing eighty percent (80%) of invoiced fees) for FTL Capital, LLC.

Respectfully Submitted,

THOMPSON COBURN LLP

September 14, 2012

By /s/ Brian A. Lamping
Stephen B. Higgins, #25728MO
Brian A. Lamping #61054MO
One US Bank Plaza
St. Louis, Missouri 63101
Phone: (314) 552-6000
Fax: (314) 552-7000
shiggins@thompsoncoburn.com
blamping@thompsoncoburn.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 14, 2012, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court through the Court's CM/ECF system which will send a notice of electronic filing to the following:

Catherine L. Hanaway, Esq.
Ashcroft Hanaway LLC
222 South Central Ave., Suite 110
St. Louis, Missouri 63105
Counsel for Defendant Burton Douglas Morriss

Adam L. Schwartz
Robert K. Levenson
Brian T. James
Securities and Exchange Commission
801 Bricknell Avenue, Suite 1800
Miami, Florida 33131
Attorneys for Plaintiff

David S. Corwin
Vicki L. Little
Sher Corwin LLC
190 Carondelet Plaza
Suite 1100
St. Louis, Missouri 63105
Counsel for Morriss Holdings, LLC

/s/ Brian A. Lamping